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Abstract

In this paper, we verify the list edge coloring conjecture for pseudo-outerplanar graphs with maximum degree at least 5 and the equitable $\Delta$-coloring conjecture for all pseudo-outerplanar graphs.
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1 Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected. By $V(G)$, $E(G)$, $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$, we denote the vertex set, the edge set, the minimum degree and the maximum degree of a graph $G$, respectively. By $d_G(v)$, or $d(v)$ for brevity, we denote the degree of a vertex $v$ in $G$. For other undefined notations, we refer the readers to [1].

For each edge $uv \in E(G)$, assign it a set $L(uv)$ of colors, called a list of $uv$. An edge coloring $\varphi$ is an edge L-coloring, if $\varphi(xy) \in L(uv)$ for each edge $xy \in E(G)$. If $|L(xy)| = k$ for every $xy \in E(G)$, then an edge L-coloring is a list edge $k$-coloring and we say that $G$ is edge $k$-choosable. The minimum integer $k$ for which $G$ has a list edge $k$-coloring, denoted by $\chi'_l(G)$, is the list chromatic index of $G$. It is trivial that $\chi'(G) \leq \chi'_l(G)$. As far as the list edge coloring is concerned,
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Vizing, Gupta, Abertson and Collins, and Bollobás and Harris (see [14] for details) independently posed the following conjecture, which is well-known as List Edge Coloring Conjecture (LECC).

**Conjecture 1.1.** $\chi'_l(G) = \chi'(G)$ for every graph $G$.

As far as we know, Conjecture 1.1 has been proved for a few special cases, such as bipartite graphs [6], planar graphs with maximum degree at least 12 [3], series-parallel graphs [16] and outerplanar graphs [22]. On the other hand, Vizing’s theorem implies that if $G$ is a graph with maximum degree $\Delta$, then $\Delta \leq \chi'(G) \leq \Delta + 1$. Thus, Vizing [21] posed a weaker conjecture than LECC, which is named Weak List Edge Coloring Conjecture (WLECC).

**Conjecture 1.2.** $\chi'_l(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ for every graph $G$.

Up to now, Conjecture 1.2 was confirmed for graphs with $\Delta(G) \leq 4$ [12, 15] and some special graphs such as graphs with girth at least $8\Delta(G)(\log \Delta(G) + 1.1)$ [18], planar graphs with maximum degree at least 9 [2], and planar graphs with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \neq 5$ and without adjacent 3-cycles or with maximum degree $\Delta(G) \neq 5, 6$ and without 7-cycles [13]. However, the above two conjectures on list edge coloring remain very open.

A proper vertex coloring is *equitable* if the sizes of any two color classes differ by at most one, thus an equitable vertex coloring (or equitable coloring for short) is indeed a partition of vertices among the different colors so that they are as evenly as possible. The *equitable chromatic number* of a graph $G$, denoted by $\chi_{eq}(G)$, is the smallest number $k$ such that $G$ has an equitable coloring with $k$ colors. Note that an equitably $k$-colorable graph may admit no equitably $k'$-colorings for some $k' > k$ (the balanced complete $k$-partite graph with $n$ vertices is such an example), therefore, another chromatic parameter for equitable coloring of graphs is defined naturally. We call the smallest $k$ such that $G$ has equitable $k'$-colorings for every integer $k' \geq k$ the *equitable chromatic threshold* of $G$, denoted by $\chi^*_eq(G)$. In 1970, Hajnal and Szemerédi [7] answered a question of Erdős by proving every graph $G$ with $\Delta(G) \leq r$ has an equitable $(r + 1)$-coloring, which implies $\chi^*_eq(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$ for every graph $G$. Three years later, Meyer [19] considered an equitable version of Brooks’ Theorem and made the following Equitable Coloring Conjecture (ECC).

**Conjecture 1.3.** For any connected graph $G$, except the complete graph and the odd cycle, $\chi_{eq}(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.

In 1994, Chen, Lih and Wu [4] posed the following Equitable $\Delta$-coloring Conjecture (E$\Delta$CC), which is stronger than Conjecture 1.3, since $\chi'_{eq}(G) \geq \chi_{eq}(G)$. 
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Conjecture 1.4. If $G$ is a connected graph with maximum degree $\Delta$ other than $K_{\Delta+1}$, $K_{\Delta,\Delta}$ and odd cycle, then $\chi^*_q(G) \leq \Delta(G)$.

Although Conjectures 1.3 and 1.4 were confirmed for many classes of graphs such as graphs with $\Delta \leq 3$ [4, 5] or $\Delta = 4$ [17], bipartite graphs [9], planar graphs with maximum degree at least 9 [11], series-parallel graphs [24] and outerplanar graphs [23], they are still much open. One can refer to the survey contributed by Lih [8] for more progresses concerning the research on equitable coloring of graphs.

A graph is pseudo-outerplanar if each block has an embedding on the plane in such a way that the vertices lie on a fixed circle and the edges lie inside the disk of this circle with each of them crossing at most one another. This notion was firstly introduced by Zhang, Liu and Wu [26], where it is proved that the class of outerplanar graphs is the intersection of the classes of pseudo-outerplanar graphs and series-parallel graphs and the edge coloring and the linear arboricity of pseudo-outerplanar were considered. Recently, Zhang [25] also proved that every pseudo-outerplanar graphs with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 5$ is totally $(\Delta + 1)$-choosable.

In this paper, we aim to confirm Conjecture 1.1 for pseudo-outerplanar graphs with maximum degree at least 5 by Theorem 2.5, Conjectures 1.2 for all pseudo-outerplanar graphs by Theorem 2.6, and Conjectures 1.3 and 1.4 for all pseudo-outerplanar graphs by Theorem 2.10.

2 Main results and their proofs

Lemma 2.1. [26] Every pseudo-outerplanar graph with minimum degree at least 2 contains one of the first seventeen configurations in Figure 1.

Lemma 2.2. Every pseudo-outerplanar graph contains one of the configurations in Figure 1, where the degree of a solid vertex is exactly shown, and the degree of a hollow vertex is at least the number of edges incident to the hollow vertex in the figure, and moreover, hollow vertices may be not distinct while solid vertices are distinct.

Proof. Let $G$ be a pseudo-outerplanar graph. If $\delta(G) \geq 2$, then $G$ contains one of the configurations among $G_1$–$G_{17}$ by Lemma 2.1. We now assume that $\delta(G) = 1$ and $G$ contains none of the above configurations. Due to the absence of the configuration $G_{18}$, $G$ has only one vertex of degree 1, say $v$. Let $u$ be the neighbor of $v$ in $G$. If $\delta(G - v) = 1$, then $d(u) = 2$, which implies the appearance of the configuration $G_{19}$ in $G$. Therefore, $\delta(G - v) \geq 2$ and then $G - v$ contains one of the configurations among $G_1$–$G_{17}$ by Theorem 4.2 of [26], say $G_i$. If $v$ is not adjacent
Figure 1: The unavoidable structures of pseudo-outerplanar graphs

to any solid vertex in $G_i$, then the configuration $G_i$ occurs in $G$. If $v$ is adjacent to some solid vertex in $G_i$, then one of the configurations among $G_{19}$--$G_{23}$ appears in $G$. □

By Lemma 2.2, we immediately have the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3.** Every pseudo-outerplanar graph contains either a vertex of degree at most two or a configuration $G_6$ as shown in Figure 1.

**Lemma 2.4.** [16] Let $G$ be the graph from Figure 2 and let $L$ be an edge-list assignment for $G$ such that $|L(e)| \geq 2$ if $e$ is incident with $x$ or $z$, and $|L(e)| \geq 4$ otherwise. Then $G$ admits an edge $L$-coloring.

Figure 2: A special graph with the numbers of remaining colors
Theorem 2.5. Let $G$ be a pseudo-outerplanar graph with maximum degree $\Delta$. If $\Delta \leq k$ and $k \geq 5$, then $\chi'(G) \leq k$.

Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $G$ is a minimum counterexample to this theorem. It is easy to see that $\delta(G) \geq 2$. If $G$ contains $G_3$, then we delete the four edges in this configuration from $G$ and denote the resulted graph by $G'$. Since $G$ is the minimum counterexample to this theorem, $G'$ has a list edge $k$-coloring $\phi$. Since 4-cycles are edge 2-choosable, we can color the four deleted edges from their lists so that the extended coloring is still a list edge $k$-coloring. Hence $G$ does not contain $G_3$. If $G$ contains an edge $uv$ with $d(u) + d(v) < k + 1$, then $G - uv$ has a list edge $k$-coloring $\phi$ since $G$ is the minimum counterexample to this theorem. Since the edge $uv$ is incident with at most $k - 1$ colored edges under $\phi$, one can easily extend $\phi$ to a list edge $k$-coloring of $G$ by coloring $uv$ with a color from its list. Therefore, $d(u) + d(v) \geq k + 2$ for every edge $uv \in E(G)$.

The above two facts along with Lemma 2.2 imply that $k = 5$ and $G$ contains the configuration $G_{17}$: a 7-path $x'u'xwyw'y'$ such that $d(u') = d(v) = d(w) = 2$, $d(x') = d(y') = 5$ and $x'x', y'y', x'y, y'x, x'y' \in E(G)$. Here we shall also assume that $d(x') = d(y') = 5$ since $x'$ and $y'$ are adjacent to 2-vertices in $G$. Let $L$ be the list assigned to the edges of $G$ with $|L(e)| = k$ for every edge $e \in E(G)$ and let $G' = G - \{u, v, w, x, y\}$. By the minimality of $G$, $G'$ has a list edge $k$-coloring $\phi$ under the list $L$. Let $A_\phi(e)$ be the set of the available colors in $L(e)$ to color an edge $e \in \{xx', xx, xy, yy, wy, wy', xx', xy, yy', x'y, xy'\}$ so that the color received by $e$ is different with the colors incident with $e$ under $\phi$. It is easy to see that $|A_\phi(xx')|, |A_\phi(yy')|, |A_\phi(x'y)|, |A_\phi(xy')| \geq 3$. Without loss of generality, assume that $|A_\phi(xx')| = |A_\phi(yy')| = |A_\phi(x'y)| = |A_\phi(xy')| = 3$. We now claim that one can color $x'y$ and $xy'$ from their available lists so that the extended coloring $\theta$ satisfies $|A_\phi(x'y)|, |A_\phi(yy')| \geq 2$ by discussing the following two cases. First, if $A_\phi(x'y) \cap A_\phi(xy') \neq \emptyset$, then we construct the above coloring $\theta$ by coloring $x'y$ and $xy'$ with a same coloring from $A_\phi(x'y) \cap A_\phi(xy')$. Second, if $A_\phi(x'y) \cap A_\phi(xy') = \emptyset$, then there are two colors $\alpha \in A_\phi(x'y)$ and $\beta \in A_\phi(xy')$ so that $\{\alpha, \beta\} \nsubseteq A_\phi(xx')$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\} \nsubseteq A_\phi(yy')$, thus we can construct the above coloring $\theta$ by coloring $x'y$ and
contains one of the 24 configurations by Lemma 2.2. Unspecified positions in dices by choosing at each step a vertex of degree at most 3 in the graph obtained.

Without loss of generality, we assume that all of the above equalities hold (otherwise we meet easier cases and can deal with them much more easily). We claim that \( \theta \) can be extended by coloring \( wy, wy' \) and \( yy' \) properly to another partial coloring \( \lambda \) of \( G \) which satisfies \( |A_\theta(ux')|, |A_\theta(wy')| \geq 2, |A_\theta(xy)| \geq 3 \) and \( |A_\theta(ux)|, |A_\theta(wx)|, |A_\theta(wy)|, |A_\theta(uy)| \geq 4 \). First, if \( A_\theta(yy') \not\subseteq A_\theta(xy) \), then we color \( yy' \) with \( \lambda(yy') \in A_\theta(yy') \setminus A_\theta(xy) \neq \emptyset, wy' \) with \( \lambda(wy') \in A_\theta(wy') \setminus \{\lambda(yy')\} \) and \( \lambda(wy) \in A_\theta(wy) \setminus \{\lambda(wy'), \lambda(yy')\} \). Second, if \( A_\theta(yy') \subseteq A_\theta(xy) \), then we color \( wy \) with \( \lambda(wy) \in A_\theta(wy) \setminus A_\theta(xy) \neq \emptyset, wy' \) with \( \lambda(wy') \in A_\theta(wy') \setminus \{\lambda(wy)\} \) and \( yy' \) with \( \lambda(yy') \in A_\theta(yy') \setminus \{\lambda(wy')\} \) (note that \( \lambda(wy) \notin A_\theta(yy') \)). In either case, one can confirm that the partial coloring \( \lambda \) of \( G \) satisfies the above required conditions. Therefore, \( \lambda \) can be extended to a final list edge \( k \)-coloring \( \varphi \) of \( G \) by Lemma 2.4.

**Theorem 2.6.** Every pseudo-outerplanar graph with maximum degree \( \Delta \) is edge \((\Delta + 1)-\)choosable.

**Proof.** This is an immediate corollary from Theorem 2.5 and the fact that every graph with maximum degree \( \Delta = 3 \) [12] or \( \Delta = 4 \) [15] is edge \((\Delta + 1)-\)choosable.

**Corollary 2.7.** LECC holds for pseudo-outerplanar graph with maximum degree at least 5.

**Corollary 2.8.** WLECC holds for all pseudo-outerplanar graph.

**Lemma 2.9.** [27] Let \( S = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\} \) where \( \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\} \) are distinct vertices in graph \( G \). If \( G - S \) has an equitable \( k \)-coloring, and \( |N_G(v_i) - S| \leq k - i \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq k \), then \( G \) has an equitable \( k \)-coloring.

**Theorem 2.10.** Every connected pseudo-outerplanar graph with maximum degree \( \Delta \) has an equitable coloring with \( k \) colors for every \( k \geq \max(\Delta, 5) \).

**Proof.** We prove the theorem by induction on the order of \( G \). If \( \Delta \leq 4 \), then the result holds by the Hajnal-zemerédi Theorem on equitable coloring, so we assume that \( k \geq \Delta \geq 5 \) in the following arguments. Since \( G \) is pseudo-outerplanar, \( G \) contains one of the 24 configurations by Lemma 2.2.

If \( G \) contains a configuration among \( G_4, G_5, G_7-G_{17} \) and \( G_{22}-G_{24} \), then label the vertices \( v_1, v_{k-2}, v_{k-1} \) and \( v_k \) as they are in the Figure 2 and fill the remaining unspecified positions in \( S \) as described in Lemma 2.9 from highest to lowest indices by choosing at each step a vertex of degree at most 3 in the graph obtained.
from $G$ by deleting the vertices thus far chosen for $S$. This can be done by using Corollary 2.3. Since $|N_G(v_i)-S| \leq k-i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $G-S$ has an equitable $k$-coloring by the induction hypothesis, $G$ has an equitable $k$-coloring by Lemma 2.9.

If $G$ contains a configuration among $G_1, G_2$ and $G_{18}-G_{21}$, then we first label the vertices $v_1, v_{k-1}$ and $v_k$ as they are in the Figure 1. Let $H_i$ be the graph derived from $G$ by deleting the labeled vertices in the configuration $G_i$ if $G$ contains $G_i$. By Corollary 2.3, we consider two cases for $i \in \{1, 2, 18, 19, 20, 21\}$. If $H_i$ contains a 2-vertex, then label this vertex by $v_{k-2}$. If $H_i$ contains a pair of adjacent 3-vertices, then label these two vertices by $v_2$ and $v_{k-2}$. In either case, we fill the remaining unspecified positions in $S$ as described in Lemma 2.9 from highest to lowest indices by choosing at each step a vertex of degree at most 3 in the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the vertices thus far chosen for $S$. Since $|N_G(v_i)-S| \leq k-i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $G-S$ has an equitable $k$-coloring by the induction hypothesis, $G$ has an equitable $k$-coloring by Lemma 2.9.

If $G$ contains a configuration $G_3$ or $G_6$ and $k \geq 6$, then we first label the vertices $v_1, v_2, v_{k-1}$ and $v_k$ as they are in the Figure 1. If $H_3$ or $H_6$ contains a 2-vertex, then label this vertex by $v_{k-2}$. If $H_3$ or $H_6$ contains a pair of adjacent 3-vertices, then label these two vertices by $v_3$ and $v_{k-2}$. In either case, we fill the remaining unspecified positions in $S$ as described in Lemma 2.9 from highest to lowest indices by choosing at each step a vertex of degree at most 3 in the graph obtained from $G$ by deleting the vertices thus far chosen for $S$. Since $|N_G(v_i)-S| \leq k-i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $G-S$ has an equitable $k$-coloring by the induction hypothesis, $G$ has an equitable $k$-coloring by Lemma 2.9.

If $G$ contains a configuration $G_3$ or $G_6$ and $k = \Delta = 5$, then one neighbor of the 2-vertices in this configuration is of degree at least 3, otherwise $G$ is isomorphic to $C_4$, contradicting the fact that $\Delta = 5$. Under this condition, we label the vertices $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$ and $v_5$ as they are in the Figure 3. It is easy to see that $|N_G(v_i)-S| \leq k-i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Since $G-S$ has an equitable $k$-coloring by the induction hypothesis, $G$ has an equitable $k$-coloring by Lemma 2.9.

Since ECC and $E\Delta CC$ holds for graphs with maximum degree 3 [4, 5] and 4 [17], we immediately have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.11.** ECC and $E\Delta CC$ holds for all pseudo-outerplanar graphs.

**Corollary 2.12.** Every connected pseudo-outerplanar graph except $K_4$ with maximum degree $\Delta$ has an equitable coloring with $k$ colors for every $k \geq \max\{\Delta, 3\}$.  

359
References


360


