

International Journal of Systems Science

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/tsys20</u>

Finite-time consensus of multiple second-order dynamic agents without velocity measurements

Yuanshi Zheng ^a , Yunru Zhu ^a & Long Wang ^b

^a Center for Complex Systems, School of Mechano-electronic Engineering, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, China

^b Center for Systems and Control, College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

Version of record first published: 24 Sep 2012.

To cite this article: Yuanshi Zheng, Yunru Zhu & Long Wang (2012): Finite-time consensus of multiple second-order dynamic agents without velocity measurements, International Journal of Systems Science, DOI:10.1080/00207721.2012.724108

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00207721.2012.724108</u>

First

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.



Finite-time consensus of multiple second-order dynamic agents without velocity measurements

Yuanshi Zheng^a, Yunru Zhu^a and Long Wang^{b*}

^aCenter for Complex Systems, School of Mechano-electronic Engineering, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, China; ^bCenter for Systems and Control, College of Engineering, Peking University, Beijing 100871, China

(Received 25 November 2011; final version received 14 July 2012)

This article considers the finite-time consensus of multiple second-order dynamic agents without velocity measurements. A feasible protocol under which each agent can only obtain the measurements of its position relative to its neighbours is proposed. By applying the graph theory, Lyapunov theory and the homogeneous domination method, some sufficient conditions for finite-time consensus of second-order multi-agent systems are established under the different kinds of communication topologies. Some examples are presented to illustrate the effectiveness of the theoretical results.

Keywords: multi-agent systems; second-order finite-time consensus; without velocity measurements

1. Introduction

Consensus problem of multi-agent systems has attracted great attention in many fields, such as system control theory, statistical physics, biology, communication, computer science and so on. As a fundamental of distributed coordination, consensus of multi-agent systems means that a group of agents reaches an agreement on a common value by negotiating with their neighbours asymptotically or in a finite time. Roughly speaking, the main objective of consensus problem is to design an appropriate consensus protocol such that a group of agents converges to a consensus state of interest. Up to now, by using the matrix theory, the graph theory, the frequency-domain analysis method, the Lyapunov direct method, etc., consensus problem of multi-agent systems has been studied in detail and the consensus criterions have been obtained for first-order, second-order or high-order multi-agent systems, many of which have been successfully applied in many areas including swarming (Chu, Wang, Chen, and Mu 2006), flocking (Olfati-Saber 2006) and formation control (Ji, Wang, Lin, and Wang 2009; Xiao, Wang, Chen, and Gao 2009) of social insects, unmanned air vehicles (UAVs), robotic teams, satellite clusters, and so on.

Consensus of the first-order multi-agent systems is primarily proposed and extensively explored by many researchers. Vicsek, Czirok, Jacob, Cohen, and Schochet (1995) proposed a discrete-time model of nagents all moving in the plane with the same speed and demonstrated by simulation that all agents move to

one direction asymptotically. Based on the algebraic graph theory (Godsil and Royal 2001), Jadbabaie, Lin, and Morse (2003) provided a theoretical explanation of the consensus behaviour in Vecsek model, and analysed the alignment of a network of agents with switching topologies that are periodically connected. Olfati-Saber and Murray (2004) discussed consensus problem for networks of dynamic agents with switching topologies and time-delays in a continuous-time model by defining a disagreement function, and obtained some useful results for solving the average-consensus problem. With the development of issue, a lot of new consensus results have been offered with different models and protocols for first-order multi-agent systems (Ren and Beard 2005; Xiao and Wang 2006, 2008; Sun, Wang, and Xie 2008; Yu and Wang 2012). In recent years, amounting attentions have been paid to the consensus problem of second-order multi-agent systems. Xie and coworkers (Ren and Atkins 2007; Xie and Wang 2007) gave the sufficient conditions for consensus problem of secondorder multi-agent systems with fixed and switching topologies. Gao and Wang (2010) investigated the consensus of second-order multi-agent systems based on sampled-data control. Other results of consensus problem have been established for high-order multiagent systems (Jiang and Wang 2010) and heterogeneous multi-agent systems (Zheng, Zhu, and Wang 2011b).

On the one hand, most consensus protocol of second-order multi-agent systems rely on the availability of the feedback information of the full states in the

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: longwang@pku.edu.cn

study of consensus problem. However, some information is unmeasurable because of technology limitations or environment disturbances (Gao, Zuo, Jiang, Du, and Ma 2012). For example, the agents can not obtain any velocity information in some cases. Hence, it is realistic and significative to consider the consensus problem of second-order multi-agent systems without velocity measurements. However, there are only a few works on this problem (Ren 2008; Gao, Wang, and Jia 2009; Abdessameud and Tayebi 2010; Zheng and Wang 2012a). In Ren (2008), the consensus problem of second-order multi-agent systems without velocity measurements was considered under fixed undirected topology. Gao et al. (2009) extended the results in Ren (2008) to a time-varying topology with/without timedelays. Abdessameud and Tayebi (2010) proposed the consensus protocols for second-order multi-agent systems without velocity measurements and in the presence of input saturation constraints. Zheng and Wang 2012a) considered the consensus of heterogeneous multi-agent systems without velocity measurements under undirected connected and leader-following networks.

On the other hand, the convergence speed is an active topic and can reflect the performance of the proposed consensus protocol in the analysis of consensus problem. Olfati-Saber and Murray (2004) showed that the second smallest eigenvalue of the interaction graph Laplacian, called algebraic connectivity of graph, quantifies the convergence speed of the consensus algorithm. Kim and Mesbahi (2006) considered the problem of maximising the second smallest eigenvalues of a state-dependent graph Laplacian. Xiao and Boyd (2006) considered and solved the problem of the weight design by using semi-definite convex programming, so that algebraic connectivity is increased. Although by maximising the algebraic connectivity of interaction graph, one can increase convergence speed with respect to the linear protocol, the consensus can never be reached in a finite time. However, in many situations, it is required that the consensus should be reached in a finite time, such as when the control accuracy is crucial. Based on the nonsmooth stability analysis, Cortes (2006) discussed the finite-time consensus problem for multi-agent systems under some discontinuous consensus protocols. Jiang and Wang (2009) investigated the finite-time consensus for multi-agent systems with fixed and switching topologies. In Wang and Xiao (2010), the authors showed that the multi-agent systems can solve the finite-time consensus problem for both the bidirectional and unidirectional interaction cases. Wang and Hong (2010) considered the finite-time χ -consensus of multi-agent systems with variable coupling topology. Zheng, Chen, and Wang (2011a) studied the finite-time

consensus of stochastic multi-agent systems with general protocol. For second-order multi-agent systems, Wang and Hong (2008) gave some protocols and showed that these protocols can reach the finite-time consensus under undirected connected graph using the homogeneous method. Sun and Guan (2012) considered the finite-time consensus of leader-following multi-agent systems with velocity measurements. Based on adding a power integrator method, Li, Du, and Lin (2011) designed a protocol and discussed the finite-time consensus of leaderless and leader-following multi-agent systems with external disturbances. Zheng and Wang (2012b) investigated the finite-time consensus of heterogeneous multi-agent systems with and without velocity measurements. Cao, Ren, and Meng (2010) studied finite-time decentralised formation tracking of second-order multi-agent systems by introducing the decentralised sliding mode estimators.

Inspired by the recent developments in multi-agent systems, we try to further investigate the finite-time consensus of second-order multi-agent systems. Different from Wang and Hong (2008), we give the consensus protocol for second-order multi-agent systems without velocity measurements and consider the finite-time consensus problem under different communication topologies. The main contribution of this article is threefold. First, we propose a continuous consensus protocol without velocity measurements for second-order multi-agent systems based on the auxiliary system approach. Second, we prove that the proposed consensus protocol can solve the finite-time consensus of second-order multi-agent systems under undirected connected graph and leader-following network by using the graph theory, Lyapunov theory and the homogeneous domination method. Finally, we discuss the finite-time consensus problem of secondorder multi-agent systems under some special directed graphs. It is not only theoretically interesting but also practically important owing to the fact that many practical systems need to consider the convergence speed when the velocity information is unmeasurable.

The rest of this article is organised as follows. In Section 2, some basic definitions and preliminary results are assembled. Section 3 sets up the problem formulation. The main results are established in Sections 4 and numerical simulations to show the validity of theoretical results are presented in Section 5. Finally, the conclusions are provided in Section 6.

Notation: Throughout this article, we let \mathbb{R} , $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and $\mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the set of real number, positive real number and non-negative real number, \mathbb{R}^n is the *n*-dimensional real vector space, $\mathcal{I}_n = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. For a given matrix *X* (vector *x*), $X^T(x^T)$ denotes its transpose, and ||X|| (||x||) denotes the Euclidean norm. $\mathbf{1}_n$ is a vector with

3

elements being all ones. A is said to be non-negative (resp. positive) if all entries a_{ij} are non-negative (resp. positive), denoted by $A \ge 0$ (resp. A > 0). $sig(x)^{\alpha} = sign(x)|x|^{\alpha}$, where $sign(\cdot)$ is sign function.

2. Preliminaries

The network formed by multi-agent system can always be represented by a graph. Thus, graph theory is an important tool to analyse consensus problem for multiagent system. First, some basic definitions and results are presented in matrix theory and graph theory (Horn and Johnson 1985; Godsil and Royal 2001).

An undirected (directed) graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ consists of a vertex set $\mathcal{V} = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n\}$ and an edge set $\mathcal{E} = \{e_{ij} = (s_i, s_j)\} \subset \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}$. Denote the set of neighbours of s_i by $\mathcal{N}_i = \{s_j : e_{ji} = (s_j, s_i) \in \mathcal{E}\}$. A path that connects s_i and s_i in the graph \mathcal{G} is a sequence of distinct vertices $s_{i_0}, s_{i_1}, s_{i_2}, \dots s_{i_m}$, where $s_{i_0} = s_i, s_{i_m} = s_j$ and $(s_{i_r}, s_{i_m}) = s_j$ $s_{i_{r+1}} \in \mathcal{E}, \quad 0 \le r \le m-1.$ An undirected (directed) graph is said to be connected (strong connected) if there exists a path between any two distinct vertices of the graph. Otherwise, the undirected graph can be partitioned into some subparts, where each part in the graph is connected and there is no connections between any part. Here, each part is called the connected component of undirected graph G. For directed graph, if (s_i, s_i) is an edge of \mathcal{G} , s_i is called the parent of s_i and s_i is called the child of s_i . A directed tree is a directed graph, where every vertex, except one special vertex without any parent, which is called the root, has exactly one parent, and the root can be connected to any other vertices through paths. The weighted adjacency matrix $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ of a graph \mathcal{G} is a non-negative matrix with rows and columns indexed by the vertices, all entries of which are non-negative, where $a_{ii} > 0$ if and only if $e_{ii} = (s_i, s_i) \in \mathcal{E}$. The degree matrix $\mathcal{D} = [d_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ is a diagonal matrix with $d_{ii} = \sum_{s_i \in \mathcal{N}_i} a_{ij}$, and the Laplacian matrix of the graph is defined as $\mathcal{L} = [l_{ij}]_{n \times n} = \mathcal{D} - \mathcal{A}$. It is easy to see that adjacency matrix \mathcal{A} is symmetric if \mathcal{G} is an undirected graph. The directed graph G is said to satisfy the detailed balance condition if there exist some scalers $\omega_i > 0$ $(i=1,2,\ldots,n)$ such that $\omega_i a_{ij} = \omega_j a_{ji}$ for all $i,j \in \mathcal{I}_n$ (Chu et al. 2006). For convenience of exposition, the names, agent and node, network and graph, will be used interchangeably.

Next, some necessary lemmas are given for analysis of main results.

Consider the autonomous system

$$\dot{x} = f(x),\tag{1}$$

where $f: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a continuous function with $D \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Lemma 2.1 (Lasalle's Invariance Principle): Let $\Omega \subset D$ be a compact set that is positively invariant with respect to (1). Let $V: D \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuously differentiable function such that $\dot{V}(x) \leq 0$ in Ω . Let E be the set of all points in Ω where $\dot{V}(x) = 0$. Let M be the largest invariant set in E. Then every solution starting in Ω approaches M as $t \to \infty$.

A function V(x) is homogeneous of degree $\sigma > 0$ with dilation $(r_1, r_2, ..., r_n), r_i > 0 (i \in \mathcal{I}_n)$, if

$$V(\varepsilon^{r_1}x_1,\varepsilon^{r_2}x_2,\ldots,\varepsilon^{r_n}x_n)=\varepsilon^{\sigma}V(x), \quad \varepsilon>0.$$

A vector field $f(x) = (f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots, f_n(x))$ is homogenous of degree $\sigma > 0$ with dilation (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) , $r_i > 0(i \in \mathcal{I}_n)$, if

$$f_i(\varepsilon^{r_1}x_1,\varepsilon^{r_2}x_2,\ldots,\varepsilon^{r_n}x_n)=\varepsilon^{\sigma+r_i}f_i(x),\quad i\in\mathcal{I}_n,\quad \varepsilon>0.$$

Lemma 2.2 (Bhat and Bernstein 2000; Hong 2002): Suppose that the system (1) is homogeneous of degree σ with dilation (r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_n) , function f(x) is continuous and x = 0 is its asymptotically stable equilibrium. If homogeneity degree $\sigma < 0$, the equilibrium of the system (1) is finite-time stable.

3. Problem formulation

In this section, we formulate the problem to be studied and give some basic definitions and lemmas.

Suppose that the multi-agent system consists of *n* agents, e.g. vehicles, robots, etc., labelled 1 through *n*. Each agent obeys a double integrator model of the form: $\ddot{x}_i(t) = u_i(t)$, or equivalently

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_i(t) = v_i(t), \\ \dot{v}_i(t) = u_i(t), \quad i \in \mathcal{I}_n, \end{cases}$$
(2)

where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $v_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are the position, velocity and acceleration, respectively, of agent *i*. The initial conditions are $x_i(0) = x_{i0}$, $v_i(0) = v_{i0}$. Let $x(0) = [x_{10}, x_{20}, \dots, x_{n0}]$, $v(0) = [v_{10}, v_{20}, \dots, v_{n0}]$.

In order to distinguish the finite-time consensus of first-order multi-agent systems from the finite-time consensus of second-order multi-agent systems, we define the finite-time consensus of second-order multiagent systems as second-order finite-time consensus.

Definition 3.1 (SOFTC): The multi-agent system (2) is said to reach second-order finite-time consensus (SOFTC for short) if for any initial conditions, there exists a finite-time T such that

$$\lim_{t \to T^{-}} ||x_{i}(t) - x_{j}(t)|| = 0,$$

$$\lim_{t \to T^{-}} ||v_{i}(t) - v_{j}(t)|| = 0, \text{ and }$$

$$x_{i}(t) = x_{i}(t), \quad v_{i}(t) = v_{i}(t), \text{ if } t \ge T$$

for any $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_n$. Moreover, if $T = \infty$, then the multiagent system (2) is said to reach second-order consensus. From Lemma 2.2, we get the next lemma. It is obvious, and the proof is omitted here.

Lemma 3.2: Suppose that, for some given $u_i(t)$, $i \in \mathcal{I}_n$, the system (2) with $(x_1, \ldots, x_n, v_1, \ldots, v_n)$ is homogeneous of degree σ with addition $(r_1, \ldots, r_1, r_2, \ldots, r_2)$

and can solve second-order consensus problem. If homogeneity degree $\sigma < 0$, then the system (2) can solve second-order finite-time consensus problem.

Wang and Hong (2008) proposed a finite-time consensus protocol for second-order multi-agent system (2) with velocity information as follows:

$$u_{i}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \Big[\psi_{1}(sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}) \\ + \psi_{2}(sig(v_{j}(t) - v_{i}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}}) \Big],$$

where $0 < \alpha_1 < 1$, ψ_1 and ψ_2 are continuous odd functions with $z\psi_i(z) > 0$ ($\forall z \neq 0$) and $\psi_i(z) = c_i z + o(z)$ for some positive numbers c_i (*i* = 1, 2).

Different from Wang and Hong (2008), we present the consensus protocol without velocity measurements as follows:

$$u_{i}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} + k_{1} \dot{y}_{i}(t), \quad i \in \mathcal{I}_{n},$$
(3)

where $\mathcal{A} = [a_{ij}]_{n \times n}$ is the weighted adjacency matrix, $0 < \alpha_1 < 1, k_1 > 0$ is a feedback gain. $y_i \in \mathbb{R}$ is given by

$$\dot{y}_{i}(t) = -k_{2}sig(y_{i}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}} + k_{3}\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij}sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}},$$

$$i \in \mathcal{I}_{n},$$
(4)

where $k_2 > 0$, $k_3 > 0$ and $y_i(0) = y_{i0}$ can be chosen arbitrarily. Let $y(0) = [y_{10}, y_{20}, \dots, y_{n0}]$.

The multi-agent system (2) with consensus protocol (3–4) can be rewritten as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_{i}(t) &= v_{i}(t), \\ \dot{v}_{i}(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} + k_{1} \dot{y}_{i}(t), \\ \dot{y}_{i}(t) &= -k_{2} sig(y_{i}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}} + k_{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}, \\ \dot{i} \in \mathcal{I}_{n}, \end{aligned}$$

Owing to the fact that

$$\varepsilon^{1+\alpha_1} v_i(t) = \varepsilon^{\sigma+2} v_i(t),$$

$$(1+k_1k_3) \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} sig(\varepsilon^2 x_j(t) - \varepsilon^2 x_i(t))^{\alpha}$$

$$-k_1 k_2 sig(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha_1} y_i(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_1}{1+\alpha_1}}$$

$$= \varepsilon^{\sigma + (1+\alpha_1)} \bigg[(1+k_1k_3) \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} sig(x_j(t) - x_i(t))^{\alpha_1} \\ - k_1k_2 sig(y_i(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_1}{1+\alpha_1}} \bigg]$$

and

$$-k_{2}sig(\varepsilon^{1+\alpha_{1}}y_{i}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}} + k_{3}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ij}sig(\varepsilon^{2}x_{j}(t) - \varepsilon^{2}x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}$$
$$= \varepsilon^{\sigma+(1+\alpha_{1})} \bigg[-k_{2}sig(y_{i}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}}$$
$$+ k_{3}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ij}sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}\bigg],$$

we know that the multi-agent system (5) with variables

 $\underbrace{(x_1(t),\ldots,x_n(t), \underbrace{v_1(t),\ldots,v_n(t)}_n, \underbrace{y_1(t),\ldots,y_n(t)}_n)}_{n \text{ homogeneous system of degree } \sigma = \alpha_1^n - 1 < 0 \text{ with dilation } \underbrace{(2,\ldots,2, \underbrace{1+\alpha_1,\ldots,1+\alpha_1}_n, \underbrace{1+\alpha_1,\ldots,1+\alpha_1}_n)}_{n \text{ total of } n \text{ total of$

Therefore, the analysis for SOFTC problem of multiagent system changes into second-order consensus problem.

4. Main results

In this section, we will study the second-order finitetime consensus of multi-agent system (5) under undirected and directed graphs, respectively.

4.1. Undirected graph

(5)

For undirected graph, we consider the communication topology \mathcal{G} with two cases, one is the undirected connected graph, the other is the leader-following network.

Theorem 4.1: Suppose that the communication topology \mathcal{G} is an undirected connected graph, i.e. $a_{ij} = a_{ji}$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_n$. Then the multi-agent system (5) can achieve the SOFTC.

Proof: Take a Lyapunov function for (5) as

$$V_{1}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} \frac{|x_{i}(t) - x_{j}(t)|^{\alpha_{1}+1}}{\alpha_{1} + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (v_{i}(t) - k_{1}y_{i}(t))^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{3}} (y_{i}(t))^{2}$$

which is positive definite with respect to $x_i(t) - x_j(t)$ $(\forall i \neq j, i, j \in \mathcal{I}_n), v_i(t) \quad (i \in \mathcal{I}_n) \text{ and } y_i(t) \quad (i \in \mathcal{I}_n).$ Differentiating $V_1(t)$, gives

$$\begin{split} \dot{V}_{1}(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} (\dot{x}_{j}(t) - \dot{x}_{i}(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2(v_{i}(t) - k_{1}y_{i}(t)) (\dot{v}_{i}(t) - k_{1}\dot{y}_{i}(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2k_{1}}{k_{3}} y_{i}(t) \dot{y}_{i}(t) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} 2v_{i}(t) \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2(v_{i}(t) - k_{1}y_{i}(t)) \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2k_{1}}{k_{3}} y_{i}(t) (-k_{2} sig(y_{i}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}} \\ &+ k_{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{2k_{1}k_{2}}{k_{3}} |y_{i}(t)|^{\frac{3\alpha_{1}+1}{1+\alpha_{1}}} \leq 0 \end{split}$$

Denote the invariant set $S = \{(x_1, v_1, y_1, ..., x_n, v_n, y_n) | \dot{V}_1 \equiv 0\}$. Note that $\dot{V}_1 \equiv 0$ implies that $y_i = 0$ ($i \in \mathcal{I}_n$). From (4), we have

$$k_3 \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} sig(x_j(t) - x_i(t))^{\alpha_1} = 0$$
, for $i \in \mathcal{I}_n$.

Because the graph G is undirected, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i(t) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} sig(x_j(t) - x_i(t))^{\alpha_1} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} |x_j(t) - x_i(t)|^{\alpha_1 + 1} = 0$$

Due to the fact that the graph \mathcal{G} is connected, we have $x_i = x_j$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_n$. Thus, $v_i = v_j$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_n$. It follows Lemma 2.1 that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|x_i(t) - x_j(t)\| = 0, \text{ and}$$
$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \|v_i(t) - v_j(t)\| = 0, \text{ for } i, j \in \mathcal{I}_t$$

Next, note that the multi-agent system (5) is a homogeneous system of degree $\sigma = \alpha_1 - 1 < 0$ with dilation $(2, \dots, 2, 1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_1, 1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_1)$. Therefore, the multi-agent system (5) achieves the SOFTC by Lemma 3.2.

In the multi-agent system, we refer to the agent as the leader if it only send the information to other agents and can't receive any information form other agents, i.e. $a_{n1} = a_{n2} = \cdots = a_{nn} = 0$, $\bar{a} = [a_{1n}, a_{2n}, \dots, a_{(n-1)n}]^T \ge 0$ and $\bar{a} \ne 0$ if the agent *n* is the leader. To some extension, we consider the multi-agent system has a leader and the communication topology of the followers is undirected (leader-following network for short). The leaderfollowing network is said to be connected if at least one agent in each component of the followers is connected to the leader by a directed edge.

Theorem 4.2: Suppose that the communication topology \mathcal{G} is a connected leader-following network. Then the multi-agent system (5) can achieve the SOFTC.

Proof: Without loss of generality, we assume the agents 1, 2, ..., n-1 are the followers and *n* is the leader. Thus, we have $\bar{\mathcal{A}} = [a_{ij}]_{1 \le i,j \le n-1} = \bar{\mathcal{A}}^T$, $a_{n1} = a_{n2} = \cdots = a_{nn} = 0$, $\bar{a} \ge 0$, where $\bar{a} = [a_{1n}, a_{2n}, \ldots, a_{(n-1)n}]^T$. Then, the dynamics of agent *n* can be written as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{n}(t) = v_{n}(t), \\ \dot{v}_{n}(t) = k_{1}\dot{y}_{n}(t), \\ \dot{y}_{n}(t) = -k_{2}sig(y_{n}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}}. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Because of $0 < \frac{2\alpha_1}{1+\alpha_1} < 1$, it is not difficult to prove that the auxiliary system $\dot{y}_n(t) = -k_2 sig(y_n(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_1}{1+\alpha_1}}$ is finite-time stable, i.e. there exists a finite-time T_1 such that $\lim_{t\to T_1^-} y_n(t) = 0$, and $y_n(t) = 0$ when $t \ge T_1$.

Let $\delta_i^1(t) = x_i(t) - x_n(t)$, $\delta_i^2(t) = v_i(t) - v_n(t)$, $\delta_i^3(t) = y_i(t) - y_n(t)$, $i \in \mathcal{I}_n$. If $t \ge T_1$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\delta}_{i}^{1}(t) = \delta_{i}^{2}(t), \\ \dot{\delta}_{i}^{2}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} sig(\delta_{j}^{1}(t) - \delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} \\ -a_{in} sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} + k_{1} \dot{\delta}_{i}^{3}(t), \\ \dot{\delta}_{i}^{3}(t) = -k_{2} sig(\delta_{i}^{3}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}} + k_{3} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} sig(\delta_{j}^{1}(t) - \delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} \\ -k_{3} a_{in} sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}, \\ i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}, \end{cases}$$

$$(7)$$

Take a Lyapunov function for (7) as

$$V_{2}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} \frac{|\delta_{i}^{1}(t) - \delta_{j}^{1}(t)|^{\alpha_{1}+1}}{\alpha_{1}+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2a_{in} \frac{|\delta_{i}^{1}(t)|^{\alpha_{1}+1}}{\alpha_{1}+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\delta_{i}^{2}(t) - k_{1}\delta_{i}^{3}(t))^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{3}} (\delta_{i}^{3}(t))^{2},$$

which is positive definite with respect to $\delta_i^1(t)$, $\delta_i^2(t)$ and $\delta_i^3(t)$ $(i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1})$.

Then,

$$\dot{V}_2(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} sig(\delta_j^1(t) - \delta_i^1(t))^{\alpha_1} (\dot{\delta}_j^1(t) - \dot{\delta}_i^1(t))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2a_{in}sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}\dot{\delta}_{i}^{1}(t) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2(\delta_{i}^{2}(t) - k_{1}\delta_{i}^{3}(t))(\dot{\delta}_{i}^{2}(t) - k_{1}\dot{\delta}_{i}^{3}(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2k_{1}}{k_{3}}\delta_{i}^{3}(t)\dot{\delta}_{i}^{3}(t) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2\delta_{i}^{2}(t)\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}sig(\delta_{j}^{1}(t) - \delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2a_{in}\delta_{i}^{2}(t)sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2(\delta_{i}^{2}(t) - k_{1}\delta_{i}^{3}(t)) \\ &\times \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}sig(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} - a_{in}sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}\right) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2k_{1}}{k_{3}}\delta_{i}^{3}(t)(-k_{2}sig(\delta_{i}^{3}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}} \\ &+ k_{3}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij}sig(\delta_{j}^{1}(t) - \delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} - k_{3}a_{in}sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}) \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2k_{1}k_{2}}{k_{3}}\left|\delta_{i}^{3}(t)\right|^{\frac{3\alpha_{1}+1}{1+\alpha_{1}}} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Denote the invariant set $S = \{(\delta_1^1, \delta_1^2, \delta_1^3, \dots, \delta_{n-1}^1, \delta_{n-1}^2, \delta_{n-1}^3) | \dot{V}_2 \equiv 0\}$. Note that $\dot{V}_2 \equiv 0$ implies that $\delta_i^3 = 0$ $(i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1})$, thus,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} sig(\delta_j^1(t) - \delta_i^1(t))^{\alpha_1} - a_{in} sig(\delta_i^1(t))^{\alpha_1} = 0, \quad i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}.$$

Then, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \delta_i^1(t) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} sig(\delta_j^1(t) - \delta_i^1(t))^{\alpha_1} - a_{in} sig(\delta_i^1(t))^{\alpha_1} \right)$$

= $-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} a_{ij} |(\delta_i^1(t) - \delta_j^1(t))|^{\alpha_1+1}$
 $-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_{in} |\delta_i^1(t)|^{\alpha_1+1} = 0,$

which implies that $\delta_i^1(t) = \delta_j^1(t) = 0$ for all $i, j \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$ by connectivity of \mathcal{G} . Thus, $\dot{V}_2(t) = 0$ implies that $\delta_i^1(t) = \delta_i^2(t) = 0$, $i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$. It follows Lemma 2.1 that $\delta_i^1(t) \to 0, \delta_i^2(t) \to 0$, i.e. $x_i(t) \to x_n(t), v_i(t) \to v_n(t), i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$, as $t \to \infty$.

Next, note that the multi-agent system (5) is a homogeneous system of degree $\sigma = \alpha_1 - 1 < 0$ with dilation $(2, \dots, 2, 1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_1, 1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_1)$.

Therefore, the multi-agent system (5) achieves the SOFTC by Lemma 3.2. \Box

4.2. Directed graph

For directed graph, we consider the communication topology \mathcal{G} with three cases – the star topology, the directed tree and the special directed tree.

Theorem 4.3: Suppose that the communication topology G is a star topology, i.e. the multi-agent system (5) has a leader and n-1 followers, and each follower receives information only from the leader. Then the multi-agent system (5) can achieve the SOFTC.

Proof: Analogous to Theorem 4.2's proof, we assume the agents 1, 2, ..., n-1 are the followers and *n* is the leader. Thus, we have $[a_{ij}]_{1 \le i,j \le n-1} = 0$ and $a_{n1} = a_{n2} = \cdots = a_{nn} = 0$, $\bar{a} > 0$, where $\bar{a} = [a_{1n}, a_{2n}, ..., a_{(n-1)n}]^T$. We rewrite the multi-agent system (5) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_{i}(t) = v_{i}(t), \\ \dot{v}_{i}(t) = a_{in}sig(x_{n}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} + k_{1}\dot{y}_{i}(t), \\ \dot{y}_{i}(t) = -k_{2}sig(y_{i}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}} + k_{3}a_{in}sig(x_{n}(t) - x_{i}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}, \\ i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}, \end{cases}$$
(8)

and

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}_n(t) = v_n(t), \\ \dot{v}_n(t) = k_1 \dot{y}_n(t), \\ \dot{y}_n(t) = -k_2 sig(y_n(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_1}{1+\alpha_1}}. \end{cases}$$
(9)

Because $\dot{y}_n(t) = -k_2 sig(y_n(t))^{\frac{2q_1}{1+\alpha_1}}$ is finite-time stable, i.e. there exists a finite-time T_2 such that $\lim_{t \to T_2} y_n(t) = 0$, and $y_n(t) = 0$ when $t \ge T_2$.

Let $\delta_i^1(t) = x_i(t) - x_n(t)$, $\delta_i^2(t) = v_i(t) - v_n(t)$, $\delta_i^3(t) = y_i(t) - y_n(t)$, $i \in \mathcal{I}_n$. If $t \ge T_2$, we have

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\delta}_{i}^{1}(t) = \delta_{i}^{2}(t), \\ \dot{\delta}_{i}^{2}(t) = -a_{in}sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} + k_{1}\dot{\delta}_{i}^{3}(t), \\ \dot{\delta}_{i}^{3}(t) = -k_{2}sig(\delta_{i}^{3}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}} - k_{3}a_{in}sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}, \quad i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}, \end{cases}$$
(10)

Take a Lyapunov function for (10) as

$$V_{3}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2a_{in} \frac{|\delta_{i}^{1}(t)|^{\alpha_{1}+1}}{\alpha_{1}+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\delta_{i}^{2}(t) - k_{1}\delta_{i}^{3}(t))^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{3}} (\delta_{i}^{3}(t))^{2},$$

which is positive definite with respect to $\delta_i^1(t)$, $\delta_i^2(t)$ and $\delta_i^3(t)$ ($i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$). Consider the derivative of $V_3(t)$ along the trajectories of the closed-loop system (10), we have

$$\dot{V}_{3}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2a_{in}sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}\dot{\delta}_{i}^{1}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2(\delta_{i}^{2}(t) - k_{1}\delta_{i}^{3}(t))$$

$$\times (\dot{\delta}_{i}^{2}(t) - k_{1}\dot{\delta}_{i}^{3}(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2k_{1}}{k_{3}}\delta_{i}^{3}(t)\dot{\delta}_{i}^{3}(t)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2a_{in}\delta_{i}^{2}(t)sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2(\delta_{i}^{2}(t) - k_{1}\delta_{i}^{3}(t))$$

$$\times (-a_{in}sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2k_{1}}{k_{3}}\delta_{i}^{3}(t)$$

$$\times \left(-k_{2}sig(\delta_{i}^{3}(t))^{\frac{2\alpha_{1}}{1+\alpha_{1}}} - k_{3}a_{in}sig(\delta_{i}^{1}(t))^{\alpha_{1}}\right)$$

$$= -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2k_{1}k_{2}}{k_{3}} |\delta_{i}^{3}(t)|^{\frac{3\alpha_{1}+1}{1+\alpha_{1}}} \leq 0$$

Denote the invariant set $S = \{(\delta_1^1, \delta_1^2, \delta_1^3, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}^1, \delta_{n-1}^2, \delta_{n-1}^3) | \dot{V}_3 \equiv 0\}$. Similar to the analysis of Theorem 4.2, $\dot{V}_3 \equiv 0$ implies that $\delta_i^1 = \delta_i^2 = \delta_i^3 = 0$, $i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$. It follows Lemma 2.1 that $\delta_i^1(t) \to 0, \delta_i^2(t) \to 0$, i.e. $x_i(t) \to x_n(t), v_i(t) \to v_n(t), i \in \mathcal{I}_{n-1}$, as $t \to \infty$.

Next, note that the multi-agent system (5) is a homogeneous system of degree $\sigma = \alpha_1 - 1 < 0$ with dilation $(2, \dots, 2, \underbrace{1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_1}_{n}, \underbrace{1 + \alpha_1, \dots, 1 + \alpha_1}_{n})$. Therefore, the multi-agent system (5) achieves the SOFTC by Lemma 3.2.

Theorem 4.4: Suppose that the communication topology G is a directed tree. Then the multi-agent system (5) can achieve the SOFTC.

Proof: Without loss of generality, we assume the agent 1 is the leader. All vertices of the directed tree \mathcal{G} can be classified into the following subsets: $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{s_1\}, \mathcal{V}_1 = \{s_j \in \mathcal{V}: s_j \text{ only receives information from } s_1 \text{ at any time } t\}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_q = \{s_j \in \mathcal{V}: s_j \text{ only receives information from vertices in } \mathcal{V}_{q-1} \text{ at any time } t\}$. Moreover, $\bigcup_{p=0}^q \mathcal{V}_p = \mathcal{V}$.

From Theorem 4.3, there exists a finite-time T_2 such that $\lim_{t \to T_2^-} ||x_i(t) - x_1(t)|| = 0$, $\lim_{t \to T_2^-} \times ||v_i(t) - v_1(t)|| = 0$, and $x_i(t) = x_1(t)$, $v_i(t) = v_1(t)$, when $t \ge T_2$ for all $i \in \{i : s_i \in V_1\}$. Similarly, there exists a finite-time $T_3 > T_2$ such that $\lim_{t \to T_3^-} ||x_i(t) - x_1(t)|| = 0$, $\lim_{t \to T_3^-} ||v_i(t) - v_1(t)|| = 0$, and $x_i(t) = x_1(t)$, $v_i(t) = v_1(t)$, when $t \ge T_3$ for all $i \in \{i : s_i \in V_2\}$. By induction, the multi-agent system (5) can achieve the SOFTC.

Here, we consider another special directed networks which are an extension of directed tree. We assume the agent 1 is the leader and all vertices of the directed network \mathcal{G} can be classified into the following subsets: $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{s_1\}, \ \mathcal{V}_1 = \{s_j \in \mathcal{V}: s_j \text{ only receives infor$ $mation from <math>s_1$ at any time $t\}, \ldots, \mathcal{V}_q = \{s_j \in \mathcal{V}: s_j \text{ only} receives information from vertex in <math>\bigcup_{p=0}^{q-1} \mathcal{V}_p$ at any time $t\}$. Moreover, $\bigcup_{p=0}^{q} \mathcal{V}_p = \mathcal{V}$. Under these directed networks, similar to the Proof of Theorem 4.4, we get the following result on SOFTC. For convenience of exposition, we define these directed networks as the special directed tree.

Theorem 4.5: Suppose that the communication topology \mathcal{G} is a special directed tree. Then the multi-agent system (5) can achieve the SOFTC.

Remark 1: Suppose that the communication topology \mathcal{G} is strongly connected and satisfies the detailed balance condition, i.e. there exists a vector $\omega = [\omega_1, \omega_2, \ldots, \omega_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ such that $\omega_i a_{ij} = \omega_j a_{ji}$ for all *i*, $j \in \mathcal{I}_n$. Take a Lyapunov function for (5) as

$$V(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \omega_{i} a_{ij} \frac{|x_{i}(t) - x_{j}(t)|^{\alpha_{1}+1}}{\alpha_{1} + 1} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\omega_{i} v_{i}(t) - k_{1} y_{i}(t))^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{k_{1}}{k_{3}} (y_{i}(t))^{2}.$$

Similar to the analysis of Theorem 4.1, the multi-agent system (5) can achieve the SOFTC. The result can also be extended to the leader-following network which the topology of the followers is strongly connected and satisfies the detailed balance condition.

Remark 2: In this article, we only consider the finitetime consensus of second-order multi-agent system (5) under some special directed graphs. Because the Laplician matrix is asymmetric and it is hard to select an appropriate Lyapunov function, the finitetime consensus of second-order multi-agent system (5) under general directed network is difficult to solve.

5. Simulations

In this section, we first present a numerical simulation in Example 5.1 to illustrate the effectiveness of theoretical result when the network is an undirected connected graph. In Example 5.2, we provide an illustration of theoretical result when the network is a special directed tree.

Example 5.1: Consider the communication topology \mathcal{G} shown in Figure 1, where the weight of each edge is 1. We assume that $k_i = 1$ (i = 1, 2, 3), $\alpha_1 = \frac{1}{5}$ and the initial states are x(0)=[7, 10, -3, -7, -1], v(0)=[1, -5, 5, 3, 2] and y(0)=[0, 0, 0, 0, 0]. Note that the communication topology \mathcal{G} is an undirected connected graph. The simulation results using (5) are shown in Figure 2. The position and velocity trajectories of agents reach



Figure 1. The network G in Example 5.1.



Figure 2. Simulation results with the network depicted in Figure 1.



Figure 3. The network G in Example 5.2.

consensus at about t = 23 s, which accord with the results established in Theorem 4.1.

Example 5.2: Consider the communication topology \mathcal{G} shown in Figure 3, where the weight of each edge is 1. All vertices of the directed network \mathcal{G} can be classified into the following subsets: $\mathcal{V}_0 = \{s_1\}, \ \mathcal{V}_1 = \{s_2, s_5\}, \ \mathcal{V}_2 = \{s_3\}$ and $\mathcal{V}_3 = \{s_4\}$. We assume that $k_i = 1$ $(i = 1, 2, 3), \ \alpha_1 = \frac{1}{5}$ and the initial states are $x(0) = [7, -3, -7, -1, 10], \ v(0) = [1, 5, 3, 2, -5]$ and y(0) = [0, 0, 0, 0, 0]. The simulation results using (5) are shown



Figure 4. Simulation results with the network depicted in Figure 3.

in Figure 4. Note that the agents 2, 3, 4 and 5 reach the agent 1's state at about t = 50 s hierarchically, which accord with the results established in Theorem 4.5.

6. Conclusions

In this article, we consider the second-order finite-time consensus of multi-agent system without velocity measurements. Based on the auxiliary system approach, we first propose a consensus protocol for second-order multi-agent system. Then, by using the graph theory, Lyapunov theory and the homogeneous domination method, we solve the second-order finitetime consensus (SOFTC) under undirected and directed graphs, respectively. At last, some examples are given to illustrate the effectiveness of theoretical results. Compared to the traditional consensus theories, the proposed SOFTC of multi-agent system without velocity measurements in this article is not only theoretically important but also has wider applications. The future work will focus on the more complex communication topology for SOFTC of multi-agent system with/without velocity measurements, for example, SOFTC of multi-agent system under switching topologies/random networks.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by 973 Program (Grant no. 2012CB821203), NSFC (Grant nos. 61020106005, 10972002 and 61104212) and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant nos. K50511040005 and K50510040003). The authors are very grateful to the reviewers and the editor for their valuable comments to improve the presentation of this article.

Notes on contributors



Yuanshi Zheng was born in Jiangshan, Zheijang Province. China on February 19, 1985. He received the BS and the MS degrees in applied mathematics from Ningxia University in 2006 and 2009, respectively. He is currently working towards the PhD degree in complex systems at Xidian University. His current research interests are in the fields of coordination of multi-agent systems, consensus problems, containment control and

coverage control.



Yunru Zhu was born in Xi'an, Shaanxi Province. She received the BS degree in automatic from Xidian University in 2003, and the MS degree in control theory and control engineering from Huazhong University of Science and Technology in 2006. Since 2006, she has been at the School of Mechanoelectronic Engineering, Xidian

University, where she is now a lecturer. She is currently working towards the PhD degree in complex systems at Xidian University. Her current research interests are in the fields of coordination of multi-agent systems, consensus problems, quantised control and impulsive control.



Long Wang was born in Xi'an, China on February 13, 1964. He received his Bachelor, Master and Doctorate degrees in dynamics and control from Tsinghua University and Peking University in 1986, 1989 and 1992, respectively. He has held research positions at the University of Toronto, Canada, and the German

Aerospace Center, Munich, Germany. He is currently Cheung Kong Chair Professor of dynamics and control, Director of Center for Systems and Control of Peking University. He is also Guest Professor of Wuhan University and Beihang University. He serves as the Editor-in-Chief of the International Journal of Information and Systems Science, Chairman of Chinese Intelligent Networked Things Committee, Vice-Chairman of Chinese Intelligent Aerospace Systems Committee, Editor of the Journal of Mechanical Science and Technology, an executive member of Chinese Society of Artificial Intelligence and Chinese Society of Systems Simulation, a panel member of the Division of Information Science, National Natural Science Foundation of China and a member of IFAC (International Federation of Automatic Control) Technical Committee on Networked Systems. He is in the editorial boards of Science in China, Progress in Natural Science, Journal of Intelligent Systems, Acta Automatica Sinica, Journal of Control Theory and Applications, Control and Decision, Information and Control, Journal of System Simulation, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Computation, Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems, IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics, etc. His research interests are in the fields of complex networked systems, information dynamics, collective intelligence and bio-mimetic robotics.

References

- Abdessameud, A., and Tayebi, A. (2010), 'On Consensus Algorithms for Double-integrator Dynamics Without Velocity Measurements and with Input Constraints', Systems & Control Letters, 59, 812-821.
- Bhat, S.P., and Bernstein, D.S. (2000), 'Finite-time Stability of Continuous Autonomous Systems', SIAM Journal of Control Optimization, 38, 751-766.
- Cao, Y., Ren, W., and Meng, Z. (2010), 'Decentralised Finite-time Sliding Mode Estimators and Their Applications in Decentralised Finite-time Formation Tracking', Systems & Control Letters, 59, 522-529.
- Chu, T., Wang, L., Chen, T., and Mu, S. (2006), 'Complex Emergent Dynamics of Anisotropic Swarms: Convergence vs. Oscillation', Chaos, Solutions & Fractals, 30, 875-885.
- Cortes, J. (2006), 'Finite-time Convergent Gradient Flows with Applications to Network Consensus', Automatica, 42, 1993-2000.
- Gao, Y., and Wang, L. (2010), 'Consensus of Multiple Dynamic Agents with Sampled Information', IET Control Theory and Applications, 4, 945–956.
- Gao, Y., Wang, L., and Jia, Y. (2009), 'Consensus of Multiple Second-order Agents Without Velocity Measurements', in American Control Conference, June 10-12, St. Louis, USA, pp. 4464-4469.
- Gao, Y., Zuo, M., Jiang, T., Du, J., and Ma, J. (2012), 'Asynchronous Consensus of Multiple Second-order Agents with Partial State Information', International Science. DOI: Journal of Systems 10.1080/00207721.2011.651171.
- Godsil, C., and Royal, G. (2001), Algebraic Graph Theory, New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Hong, Y. (2002), 'Finite-time Stabilisation and Stabilisability of a Class of Controllable Systems', Systems & Control Letters, 46, 231-236.
- Horn, R.A., and Johnson, C.R. (1985), Martrix Analysis, New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Jadbabaie, A., Lin, J., and Morse, A.S. (2003), 'Coordination of Groups of Mobile Autonomous Agents Using Nearest Neighbour Rules', IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control, 48, 988-1001.
- Ji, Z., Wang, Z., Lin, H., and Wang, Z. (2009), 'Interconnection Topologies for Multi-agent Coordination Under Leader-Follower Framework'. Automatica, 45, 2857-2863.
- Jiang, F., and Wang, L. (2009), 'Finite-time Information Consensus for Multi-agent Systems with Fixed and Switching Topologies', Physica D, 238, 1550–1560.
- Jiang, F., and Wang, L. (2010), 'Consensus Seeking of Highorder Dynamic Multi-agent Systems with Fixed and Switching Topologies', International Journal of Control, 83, 404-420.
- Kim, Y., and Mesbahi, M. (2006), 'On Maximising the Second Smallest Eigenvalue of a State-dependent Graph Laplacian', IEEE Transactions on Autommatic Control, 51, 116 - 120
- Li, S., Du, H., and Lin, X. (2011), 'Finite-time Consensus for Multi-agent Systems with Double-integrator Dynamics', Automatica, 47, 1706-1712.

- Olfati-Saber, R. (2006), 'Flocking for Multi-agent Dynamic Systems: Algorithms and Theory', *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 51, 401–420.
- Olfati-Saber, R., and Murray, R.M. (2004), 'Consensus Problems in Networks of Agents with Switching Topology and Time-delays', *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 49, 1520–1533.
- Ren, W. (2008), 'On Consensus Algorithms for Doubleintegrator Dynamics', *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 53, 1503–1509.
- Ren, W., and Atkins, E. (2007), 'Distributed Multi-vehicle Coordinated Control via Local Information Exchange', *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, 17, 1002–1033.
- Ren, W., and Beard, R.W. (2005), 'Consensus Seeking in Multiagent Systems Under Dynamically Changing Interaction Topologies', *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 50, 655–661.
- Sun, F., and Guan, Z. (2012), 'Finite-time Consensus for Leader-following Second-order Multi-agent System', *International Journal of Systems Science*. DOI: 10.1080/ 00207721.2011.618641.
- Sun, Y., Wang, L., and Xie, G. (2008), 'Average Consensus in Networks of Dynamic Agents with Switching Topologies and Multiple Time-varying Delays', Systems & Control Letters, 57, 175–183.
- Vicsek, T., Czirok, A., Jacob, E.B., Cohen, I., and Schochet, O. (1955), 'Novel Type of Phase Transition in a System of Self-driven Particles', *Physical Review Letters*, 75, 1226–1229.
- Wang, L., and Xiao, F. (2010), 'Finite-time Consensus Problems for Networks of Dynamic Agents', *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 55, 950–955.
- Wang, X., and Hong, Y. (2008), 'Finite-time Consensus for Multi-agent Networks with Second-order Agent Dynamics', in *17th World Congress IFAC*, July 6–11, Seoul, Korea, pp. 15185–15190.

- Wang, X., and Hong, Y. (2010), 'Distributed Finite-time χconsensus Algorithms for Multi-agent Systems with Variable Coupling Topology', *Journal of Systems Science* and Complexity, 23, 209–218.
- Xiao, F., and Wang, L. (2006), 'State Consensus for Multiagent Systems with Switching Topologies and Timevarying Delays', *International Journal of Control*, 79, 1277–1284.
- Xiao, F., and Wang, L. (2008), 'Asynchronous Consensus in Continuous-time Multi-agent Systems with Switching Topology and Time-varying Delays', *IEEE Transactions* on Automatic Control, 53, 1804–1816.
- Xiao, F., Wang, L., Chen, J., and Gao, Y. (2009), 'Finitetime Formation Control for Multi-agent Systems', *Automatica*, 45, 2605–2611.
- Xiao, L., and Boyd, S. (2006), 'Fast Linear Iterations for Distributed Averaging', *Systems & Control Letters*, 53, 65–78.
- Xie, G., and Wang, L. (2007), 'Consensus Control for a Class of Networks of Dynamic Agents', *International Journal of Robust and Nonlinear Control*, 17, 941–959.
- Yu, J., and Wang, L. (2012), 'Group Consensus of Multiagent Systems with Directed Information Exchange', *International Journal of Systems Science*, 43, 334–348.
- Zheng, Y., Chen, W., and Wang, L. (2011a), 'Finite-time Consensus for Stochastic Multi-agent Systems', *International Journal of Control*, 84, 1644–1652.
- Zheng, Y., and Wang, L. (2012a), 'Consensus of Heterogeneous Multi-agent Systems Without Velocity Measurements', *International Journal of Control*, 85, 906–914.
- Zheng, Y., and Wang, L. (2012b), 'Finite-time Consensus of Heterogeneous Multi-agent Systems with and Without Velocity Measurements', Systems & Control Letters, 61, 871–878.
- Zheng, Y., Zhu, Y., and Wang, L. (2011b), 'Consensus of Heterogeneous Multi-agent Systems', *IET Control Theory* and Applications, 5, 1881–1888.