The structure and the list 3-dynamic coloring of outer-1-planar graphs

Yan Li

Xin Zhang*

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xidian University, China

received 22nd Oct. 2019, revised 29th Jan. 2021, accepted 6th Aug. 2021.

An outer-1-planar graph is a graph admitting a drawing in the plane so that all vertices appear in the outer region of the drawing and every edge crosses at most one other edge. This paper establishes the local structure of outer-1-planar graphs by proving that each outer-1-planar graph contains one of the seventeen fixed configurations, and the list of those configurations is minimal in the sense that for each fixed configuration there exist outer-1-planar graphs containing this configuration that do not contain any of another sixteen configurations. There are two interesting applications of this structural theorem. First of all, we conclude that every (resp. maximal) outer-1-planar graph of minimum degree at least 2 has an edge with the sum of the degrees of its two end-vertices being at most 9 (resp. 7), and this upper bound is sharp. On the other hand, we show that the list 3-dynamic chromatic number of every outer-1-planar graph is at most 6, and this upper bound is best possible.

Keywords: outer-1-planar graph, local structure, dynamic coloring, list coloring

1 Introduction

A graph is *1-planar* if it can be drawn in the plane such that each edge is crossed at most once. The family of 1-planar graphs is among the most investigated graph families within the so-called "beyond planar graphs", see [DLM19, KLM17]. In this paper, we focus on a subclass of 1-planar graphs, in particular, outer-1-planar graphs. A graph is said to be *outer 1-planar* if it has a drawing in the plane so that all vertices appear in the outer region of the drawing and every edge crosses at most one other edge; such a drawing is called an *outer-1-plane graph* and the outer region of the drawing is called the *outer boundary* of *G*. An outer-1-planar graph is *maximal* if adding any edge (not multi-edge) to it will disturb the outer-1-planarity. The concept of outer-1-planar graphs was first introduced by [Egg86] who called them *outerplanar graphs*, see [TZ14, Zha13, ZLW12]. Note that outer-1-planar graphs are planar, see [ABB⁺16, ZLW12]. Various topics on outer-1-planar graphs including the recognition, see [ABB⁺13, HEK⁺15], drawing, see [DE12, GLM15], structure, see [ZLLZ18, ZLW12] and coloring, see [Che19, TZ14, Zha13, LZ20, Zha16, Zha17, ZL21a, Zha20], are explored.

ISSN 1365–8050 © 2021 by the author(s) Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

^{*}This author is the corresponding author. Email: xzhang@xidian.edu.cn. This author was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11871055).

If \mathcal{G} is a class of graphs such that each graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$ contains an edge uv with $d(u) + d(v) \leq C$, where C is a constant independent of G, then we say that \mathcal{G} contains *light edge*, or \mathcal{G} is an *edge-light graph* class. An edge uv is of type $(a, \leq b)$ if d(u) = a and $d(v) \leq b$. Finding edge-light graph classes is an interesting topic in the literature.

[Kot55] proved that each 3-connected planar graph contains an edge uv such that $d(u) + d(v) \le 13$ and this bound is sharp. [FM07] showed that each 3-connected 1-planar graph contains an edge uv such that $\max\{d(u), d(v)\} \le 20$ and the bound 20 is sharp. [LS17] proved that each 3-connected 1-planar graph Gcontains an edge uv of type $(3, \le 22), (4, \le 13), (5, \le 9), (6, \le 8)$ or (7, 7) and thus $d(u) + d(v) \le 25$. Replacing the 3-connectedness with a condition on the minimum degree, [Hv12] proved that each 1planar graph of minimum degree at least 4 contains an edge of type $(4, \le 13), (5, \le 9), (6, \le 8)$ or (7, 7), where the bound 9, 8 and 7 in the last three types are sharp. Very recently, [BDHS20] showed that each 1-planar graph of minimum degree at least 3 contains an edge uv such that $\max\{d(u), d(v)\} \le 29$. [NZ20] showed that each 1-planar graph of minimum degree at least 3 contains an edge of type $(3, \le 23),$ $(4, \le 11), (5, \le 9), (6, \le 8)$ or (7, 7). It is not clear whether the bound 23 and 11 in the first two types are sharp, and the authors conjectured that they may be improved to 20 and 10, respectively.

For subclasses of planar graphs, it is well known, see [WK99], that each outerplanar graph of minimum degree at least 2 contains en edge uv such that $d(u) + d(v) \le 6$ and this bound is sharp. [ZLLZ18] proved that (i) each outer-1-planar graph of minimum degree at least 2 has an edge uv such that $d(u) + d(v) \le 9$ and the bound is sharp; (ii) every maximal outer-1-planar graph G has an edge uv such that $d(u) + d(v) \le 7$ and the bound is sharp.

The aim of this paper is to improve the above two results of [ZLLZ18] to a more detailed form, which not only confirms the existence of such a light edge but also shows in which configuration it is contained (see Theorem 4 in Section 2). Actually, our result implies that each outer-1-planar graph of minimum degree at least 2 contains an edge of type $(2, \leq 7)$ or (3, 3), and each maximal outer-1-planar graph contains an edge of type $(2, \leq 5)$ or (3, 3), and all bounds are sharp.

On the other hand, this structural theorem is applicable to an interesting problem the so-called list 3-dynamic coloring of graphs, which has many applications to the channel assignment problems, see [ZB18, ZB20]. For the continuity of this paper, we introduce the list 3-dynamic coloring in Section 3, where we give a sharp upper bound for the list 3-dynamic chromatic number of outer-1-planar graphs (see Theorem 8 in Section 3).

2 Structural Theorem

If an outer-1-plane graph G is 2-connected, then we denote by $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{|G|}$ the vertices in the outer boundary of G consecutively in a clockwise order, and then let $\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_j] = \{v_i, v_{i+1}, \ldots, v_j\}$ and $\mathcal{V}(v_i, v_j) = \mathcal{V}[v_i, v_j] \setminus \{v_i, v_j\}$ (we take modulo |G| for the subscripts). The subgraph of G induced by $\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_j]$ is denoted by $G[v_i, v_j]$.

If there is no edge between $\mathcal{V}(v_i, v_l)$ and $\mathcal{V}(v_l, v_i)$, where i < l, then we denote by $\widehat{G}[v_i, v_l]$ the graph derived from $G[v_i, v_l]$ via adding an edge $v_i v_l$ if it does not originally exist in G. Clearly, $\widehat{G}[v_i, v_l]$ is also a 2-connected outer-1-plane graph.

Given a vertex set $\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_j]$ with $i \neq j$, if $j = i + 1 \pmod{|G|}$ and $v_i v_j \notin E(G)$, then we call it a *non-edge*, and if $v_k v_{k+1} \in E(G)$ for all $i \leq k < j$, then we call it a *path*. If $xy \in E(G)$ and x, y are not two consecutive vertices in the outer boundary of G, then we call xy a *chord*. A chord that is crossed in G is called a *crossed chord*. The set of chords xy with $x, y \in \mathcal{V}[v_i, v_j]$ is denoted by $\mathcal{C}[v_i, v_j]$

The structure and the list 3-dynamic coloring of outer-1-planar graphs



Fig. 1: Local structures in an outer-1-planar graph G with $\delta(G) \ge 2$

Lemma 1 [ZLW12, Claim 1] Let G be a 2-connected outer-1-plane graph and let v_i, v_j be vertices of G. If each chord in $C[v_i, v_j]$ is not crossed and there is no edge between $\mathcal{V}(v_i, v_j)$ and $\mathcal{V}(v_j, v_i)$, then $\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_j]$ is either a path or a non-edge.

If G contains a configuration G_i as shown in Fig. 1 such that any hollow (resp. solid black) vertex has the degree in G at least (resp. exactly) the number of edges incident with it there, and any solid grey vertex has the degree in G as marked by Fig. 1, then we say that G contains G_i . For two vertices $v_a, v_b \in V(G)$, saying $G[v_a, v_b]$ properly contains G_i , we mean that $G[v_a, v_b]$ contains G_i so that neither v_a nor v_b corresponds to a solid black or grey vertex in the picture of G_i .

Lemma 2 If G contains a path $v_1v_2...v_n$ with $n \ge 5$ such that v_iv_{i+1} is not a chord for each $1 \le i \le n-1$, and there are no chords v_iv_j and v_kv_l such that $1 \le i < k < j < l \le n$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_1, G_2 or G_4 .

Proof: We prove it by induction on *n*.

Case 1. *n* = 5.

If $C[v_1, v_n] = \emptyset$, then $d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 2$ and G_1 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$. Otherwise, choose a chord $v_i v_j \in C[v_1, v_n]$ so that $C[v_i, v_j] = \{v_i v_j\}$ and i < j. Now, if $j - i \ge 3$, then $d(v_{i+1}) = d(v_{i+2}) = 2$ and $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_1 . If j - i = 2, then $d(v_{i+1}) = 2$, and either $i \ne 1$ or $j \ne n$. By symmetry, we assume the latter. If $d(v_j) = 3$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_2 . If $d(v_j) = 4$, then by symmetry, we consider two subcases. First, if j = 3, then $v_3v_5 \in E(G)$ and $d(v_4) = 2$, which implies the proper containment of G_4 . Second, if j = 4, then i = 2 and $v_1v_4 \in E(G)$. This concludes that $d(v_2) = 3$ and G_2 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$.

Case 2. $n \ge 6$.

Suppose that we have proved the lemma for every n' with $5 \le n' < n$.

We assume that there is a chord $v_i v_j \in C[v_1, v_n]$ so that j - i = 2, otherwise we can finish the proof as in Case 1. Assume, without loss of generality, that $j \neq n$. If $d(v_j) = 3$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_2 . Hence we assume $d(v_j) \geq 4$. Therefore, there exists a chord $v_j v_k$ with $1 \leq k < i$ or $j < k \leq n$.

If $1 \le k < i$, then $i \ne 1$, which implies $d(v_i) \ge 4$, because otherwise $d(v_i) = 3$ and thus G_2 is properly contained. Whereafter, there is a chord $v_i v_t$ with $k \le t < i$. Hence, there exists either a chord $v_i v_k$ with $j < k \le n$, or a chord $v_i v_t$ with $1 \le t < i$. By symmetry, we assume the former.

If $k - j \ge 4$, then $G[v_j, v_k]$ properly contains G_1, G_2 or G_4 by the induction hypothesis, and so does $G[v_1, v_n]$, since any vertex in $\mathcal{V}(v_j, v_k)$ has the same degree both in $G[v_j, v_k]$ and $G[v_1, v_n]$.

If k - j = 3, then either $d(v_{j+1}) = d(v_{j+2}) = 2$, or $d(v_{j+1}) = 2$, $d(v_{j+2}) = 3$ and $v_j v_{j+2} \in E(G)$, or $d(v_{j+1}) = 3$, $d(v_{j+2}) = 2$ and $v_{j+1}v_k \in E(G)$. In each case, we conclude that $G[v_j, v_k]$ properly contains G_1 or G_2 , and so does $G[v_1, v_n]$.

If k - j = 2, then $d(v_{j+1}) = 2$. At this moment, if $d(v_j) = 4$, then G_4 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$. Otherwise, there is a chord $v_j v_s$ such that $k < s \le n$ or $1 \le s < i$. By symmetry, assume the former. If $s - k \ge 2$, then $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_s]| \ge 5$ and by the induction hypothesis, $G[v_j, v_s]$ properly contains G_1, G_2 or G_4 , and so does $G[v_1, v_n]$. If s - k = 1, then $d(v_k) = 3$ and G_2 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$.

Let G be a 2-connected outer-1-plane graph. By \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 , we define two possible properties for the drawing of G. They are stated as follows.

- \mathfrak{D}_1 If G contains G_3 , then the graph derived from G by adding a new edge between u and v in that picture is still outer-1-planar;
- \mathfrak{D}_2 If G contains G_i for some $6 \le i \le 17$, then the picture of G_i in Fig. 1 corresponds to a partial drawing (up to inversion) of G in the plane.

Theorem 3 Let G be a 2-connected outer-1-plane graph and let $v_1, v_2, ..., v_n$ (n = |G|) be its vertices appearing in the outer boundary of G consecutively in that order.

- (1) If n = 4, then $G[v_1, v_4]$ properly contains G_1 or G_2 , unless v_1v_3 crosses v_2v_4 and $v_1v_2, v_3v_4 \in E(G)$;
- (2) If n = 5, then $G[v_1, v_5]$ properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 G_4, G_6, G_8, G_{13}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold unless $\mathcal{V}[v_1, v_5]$ is a path and $v_1v_4, v_2v_5 \in E(G)$;
- (3) If $n \ge 6$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 G_{17}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold.

Proof: If no crossing appears in G, then $v_1v_2\cdots v_n$ is a path by the 2-connectedness of G, and thus $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_1 , G_2 or G_4 by Lemma 2 if $n \ge 5$. On the other hand, if n = 4, then $G[v_1, v_4]$ properly contains G_2 if $v_1v_3 \in E(G)$ or $v_2v_4 \in E(G)$, and G_1 otherwise. Hence in the following we assume that there is a pair of crossed chords v_iv_j and v_kv_l with $1 \le i < k < j < l \le n$, **Case 1.** n = 4.

Suppose that v_1v_3 crosses v_2v_4 . If $v_1v_2 \notin E(G)$, then $v_2v_3 \in E(G)$ by the 2-connectedness of G. Therefore, $G[v_1, v_4]$ properly contains G_1 if $v_3v_4 \notin E(G)$, and G_2 otherwise. Hence we assume $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$. By symmetry, it is also assumed that $v_3v_4 \in E(G)$. This is in accordance with the excluded case listed in (1).

Case 2. n = 5.

By symmetry, we analyse three subcases as follows.

Subcase 2.1. v_1v_3 crosses v_2v_4 .

By (1), $\hat{G}[v_1, v_4]$ properly contains G_1 or G_2 (and so does $G[v_1, v_4]$), unless v_1v_3 crosses v_2v_4 and $v_1v_2, v_3v_4 \in E(G)$, in which case we have $d(v_4) \leq 4$. Therefore, $G[v_1, v_5]$ properly contains G_3 if $v_2v_3 \notin E(G)$, and G_6 otherwise. Moreover, \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold.

Subcase 2.2. v_1v_3 crosses v_2v_5 .

By the 2-connectedness of G, v_3v_4 , $v_4v_5 \in E(G)$.

If $v_2v_3 \notin E(G)$, then $d(v_3) = d(v_4) = 2$ if $v_3v_5 \notin E(G)$, and $d(v_3) = 3$, $d(v_4) = 2$ if $v_3v_5 \in E(G)$. Therefore, $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contain G_1 in the former case, and G_2 in the latter case.

If $v_2v_3 \in E(G)$ and $v_1v_2 \notin E(G)$, then $d(v_2) = d(v_4) = 2$, $d(v_3) \leq 4$, and thus G_3 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$. We confirm that \mathfrak{D}_1 holds by showing that $G + v_2v_4$ is still outer-1-planar. Actually, adjusting the order of the vertices in the outer boundary from v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5 to v_1, v_3, v_2, v_4, v_5 , we obtain an outer-1-planar drawing of the graph $G + v_2v_4$.

If $v_1v_2, v_2v_3 \in E(G)$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_8 if $v_3v_5 \notin E(G)$, and G_{13} if $v_3v_5 \in E(G)$. Subcase 2.3. v_1v_4 crosses v_2v_5 .

By the 2-connectedness of G, v_2v_3 , $v_3v_4 \in E(G)$.

If $v_4v_5 \notin E(G)$ (the case that $v_1v_2 \notin E(G)$ is symmetric), then $d(v_3) = d(v_4) = 2$ if $v_2v_4 \notin E(G)$, and $d(v_3) = 2$, $d(v_4) = 3$ if $v_2v_4 \in E(G)$. Therefore, $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contain G_1 in the former case, and G_2 in the latter case.

If $v_1v_2, v_4v_5 \in E(G)$, then we meet the excluded case mentioned in (2).

Case 3. n = 6.

If $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_l]| = 4$, then by (1), $\hat{G}[v_i, v_l]$ properly contains G_1 or G_2 (and so does $G[v_i, v_l]$), unless $v_i v_k, v_j v_l \in E(G)$, in which case we have $d(v_i), d(v_l) \leq 5$. Since either $i \neq 1$ or $l \neq 6$, $G[v_1, v_6]$ properly contains G_3 if $v_k v_j \notin E(G)$, and G_6 otherwise. Moreover, \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold trivially.

If $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_l]| = 5$, then by (2), $\hat{G}[v_i, v_l]$ properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_4, G_6, G_8, G_{13}$ (and so does $G[v_i, v_l]$) such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold, unless $\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_l]$ is a path and k = i+1, j = l-1, in which case we have $d(v_i), d(v_l) \leq 4$. Since either $i \neq 1$ or $l \neq 6$, $G[v_1, v_6]$ properly contains G_7 if $v_k v_j \notin E(G)$, and G_{12} otherwise. Moreover, \mathfrak{D}_2 holds.

If $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_l]| = 6$, then i = 1 and l = 6. By symmetry, we analyse four subcases as follows. Subcase 3.1. v_1v_3 crosses v_2v_6 .

By (1), $\hat{G}[v_3, v_6]$ properly contains G_1 or G_2 (and so does $G[v_3, v_6]$), unless v_3v_5 crosses v_4v_6 and $v_3v_4, v_5v_6 \in E(G)$, in which case we have $d(v_3) \leq 5$. Hence $G[v_1, v_6]$ properly contains G_3 if $v_4v_5 \notin dv_6$

E(G), and G_6 otherwise. Moreover, \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold trivially.

Subcase 3.2. v_1v_4 crosses v_2v_6 .

By the 2-connectedness of G, v_2v_3 , v_3v_4 , v_4v_5 , $v_5v_6 \in E(G)$. If $v_1v_2 \notin E(G)$, then $d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 2$ if $v_2v_4 \notin E(G)$, and $d(v_2) = 3$, $d(v_3) = 2$ if $v_2v_4 \in E(G)$. This implies that $G[v_1, v_6]$ properly contains G_1 in the former case, and G_2 in the latter case. Hence we assume $v_1v_2 \in E(G)$. This implies that $G[v_1, v_6]$ properly contains G_{10} if v_2v_4 , $v_4v_6 \notin E(G)$, G_5 if $|\{v_2v_4, v_4v_6\} \cap E(G)| = 1$, and G_{15} if v_2v_4 , $v_4v_6 \in E(G)$. Moreover, \mathfrak{D}_2 holds trivially.

Subcase 3.3. v_1v_4 crosses v_3v_6 .

By the 2-connectedness of G, v_1v_2 , v_2v_3 , v_4v_5 , $v_5v_6 \in E(G)$. If $v_3v_4 \notin E(G)$, then $d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 2$ if $v_1v_3 \notin E(G)$, and $d(v_2) = 2$, $d(v_3) = 3$ if $v_1v_3 \in E(G)$, which implies that $G[v_1, v_6]$ properly contains G_1 in the former case, and G_2 in the latter case. Hence we assume $v_3v_4 \in E(G)$. This implies

that $G[v_1, v_6]$ properly contains G_9 if $v_1v_3, v_4v_6 \notin E(G), G_{14}$ if $|\{v_1v_3, v_4v_6\} \cap E(G)| = 1$, and G_{16} if $v_1v_3, v_4v_6 \in E(G)$. Moreover, \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold trivially.

Subcase 3.4. v_1v_5 crosses v_2v_6 .

By (1), $\hat{G}[v_2, v_5]$ properly contains G_1 or G_2 (and so does $G[v_2, v_5]$), unless v_2v_4 crosses v_3v_5 and $v_2v_3, v_4v_5 \in E(G)$, in which case we have $d(v_2) \leq 5$. Hence $G[v_1, v_6]$ properly contains G_3 if $v_3v_4 \notin E(G)$, and G_6 otherwise. Moreover, \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold trivially.

Case 4. $n \ge 7$.

Suppose that we have proved the lemma for every n' with $6 \le n' < n$.

Claim A. If $v_i v_j$ crosses $v_k v_l$ $(1 \le i < k < j < l \le n)$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains at least one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_{17}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold, unless $4 \le |\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_l]| \le 5$, k = i + 1, j = l - 1, and $v_i v_k, v_j v_l \in E(G)$, in which case we say that $v_i v_j$ <u>co-crosses</u> $v_k v_l$ in G.

Proof:

If $\max \{|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]|\} \ge 6$, then we consider, without loss of generality, the case that $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]| \ge 6$. Applying the induction hypothesis to $\widehat{G}[v_i, v_k]$ (note that there is no edge between $\mathcal{V}(v_i, v_k)$ and $\mathcal{V}(v_k, v_i)$), we conclude that it properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_{17}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold, and so does $G[v_1, v_n]$, since any vertex in $\mathcal{V}(v_i, v_k)$ has same degree both in $\widehat{G}[v_i, v_k]$ and $G[v_1, v_n]$. Hence, we are only care about the case $\max \{|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]|\} \le 5$.

Subcase 4.1. max { $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]|$ } = 5.

We only consider the case $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]| = 5$, and the cases that $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| = 5$ or $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| = 5$ can be considered similarly. By (2), $\hat{G}[v_i, v_k]$ properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_4, G_6, G_8, G_{13}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold (and so does $G[v_i, v_k]$) unless $\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]$ is a path such that $v_i v_{k-1}, v_{i+1} v_k \in E(G)$. If $d(v_k) \leq 7$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_7 if $v_{i+1} v_{k-1} \notin E(G)$, and G_{12} otherwise. It is easy to see that \mathfrak{D}_2 holds now. Therefore, we assume that $d(v_k) \geq 8$. This implies that j = k + 4 (note that $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| \leq 5$) and that v_k is adjacent to $v_i, v_{k+1}, v_{k+2}, v_{k+3}, v_j, v_l$. By (2), $\hat{G}[v_k, v_j]$ properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_4, G_6, G_8, G_{13}$ (and so does $G[v_k, v_j]$), since $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| = 5$ and $\hat{G}[v_k, v_j]$ cannot contain the excluded structure mentioned in (2).

Subcase 4.2. max $\{|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]|\} = 4.$

We only consider the case $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]| = 4$, and the cases that $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| = 4$ or $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| = 4$ can be considered similarly. By (1), $\widehat{G}[v_i, v_k]$ properly contains G_1 or G_2 , unless $v_i v_{k-1}$ crosses $v_{i+1} v_k$ and $v_i v_{i+1}, v_{k-1} v_k \in E(G)$. Since $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| \le 4$, $d(v_k) \le 7$. Therefore, $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_3 if $v_{i+1} v_{k-1} \notin E(G)$, and G_6 otherwise. Moreover, \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold.

Subcase 4.3. $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]| = 3$, and $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| \le 3$.

By the 2-connectedness of G, $\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]$ is a path.

Assume first that $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| = 3$. Clearly, $\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]$ is also a path since G is 2-connected.

If exactly one from v_iv_k and v_kv_j , say v_iv_k , is an edge of G, then $d(v_{i+1}) = d(v_{k+1}) = 2$ and $d(v_k) = 4$, which implies the proper containment of G_5 in $G[v_1, v_n]$.

If $v_i v_k \notin E(G)$ and $v_k v_j \notin E(G)$, then we look at $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]|$. If $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| = 3$, then $\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]$ is a path by the 2-connectedness of G, and thus $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_5 if $v_j v_l \in E(G)$, and G_{11} otherwise. If $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| = 2$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_{10} if $v_j v_l \in E(G)$, and $d(v_j) = d(v_{j-1}) = 2$ if $v_j v_l \notin E(G)$, in which case G_1 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$. In each case \mathfrak{D}_2 holds. If $v_i v_k \in E(G)$ and $v_k v_j \in E(G)$, then we also look at $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]|$. If $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| = 3$, then $\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]$ is a path by the 2-connectedness of G, and thus $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_{17} if $v_j v_l \in E(G)$, and G_5 otherwise. If $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| = 2$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_{15} if $v_j v_l \in E(G)$, and $d(v_j) = 3, d(v_{j-1}) = 2$ if $v_j v_l \notin E(G)$, in which case G_2 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$. In each case \mathfrak{D}_2 holds.

We assume now that $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| = 2$.

If $v_k v_j \notin E(G)$, then $d(v_{i+1}) = 2$, $d(v_k) = 3$ if $v_i v_k \in E(G)$, and $d(v_{i+1}) = d(v_k) = 2$ if $v_i v_k \notin E(G)$. Therefore, $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contain G_2 in the former case, and G_1 in the latter case.

If $v_k v_j \in E(G)$, then we look at $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]|$.

If $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| = 3$, then $\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]$ is a path by the 2-connectedness of G. Therefore, $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_9 if $|\{v_iv_k, v_jv_l\} \cap E(G)| = 0$, G_{14} if $|\{v_iv_k, v_jv_l\} \cap E(G)| = 1$, and G_{16} if $|\{v_iv_k, v_jv_l\} \cap E(G)| = 2$. In each case \mathfrak{D}_2 holds.

If $|\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| = 2$, then we consider two subcases. If $v_j v_l \notin E(G)$, then $d(v_{i+1}) = d(v_j) = 2$ and $d(v_k) \leq 4$, which implies that $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_3 . Adjusting the order of the vertices in the boundary of G from $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_k, v_j, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_n$ to $v_1, \ldots, v_{k-1}, v_j, v_k, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_n$, we obtain an outer-1-planar drawing of $G + v_{i+1}v_j$, and thus \mathfrak{D}_1 holds. If $v_j v_l \in E(G)$, then $G[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains G_{13} if $v_i v_k \in E(G)$, and G_8 otherwise. In each case \mathfrak{D}_2 holds.

Subcase 4.4. $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_l]| = 3$, and $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]|, |\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| \le 3$.

This is a symmetric case of Subcase 4.3, so we omit the proof here.

Subcase 4.5. $|\mathcal{V}[v_i, v_k]| = |\mathcal{V}[v_j, v_l]| = 2$, and $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| \leq 3$.

If $v_iv_k, v_jv_l \in E(G)$, then v_iv_j co-crosses v_kv_l , as desired. Hence in the following we assume $|\{v_iv_k, v_jv_l\} \cap E(G)| \leq 1$. By symmetry, we assume that $v_iv_k \notin E(G)$.

If $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| = 3$, then by the 2-connectedness of G, $\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]$ is a path. If $v_k v_j \in E(G)$, then $d(v_{k+1}) = 2$, $d(v_k) = 3$ and thus G_2 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$. If $v_k v_j \notin E(G)$, then $d(v_k) = d(v_{k+1}) = 2$ and thus G_1 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$.

If $|\mathcal{V}[v_k, v_j]| = 2$, then $v_k v_j \in E(G)$ by the 2-connectedness of G. If $v_j v_l \in E(G)$, then $d(v_k) = 2$, $d(v_j) = 3$ and thus G_2 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$. If $v_j v_l \notin E(G)$, then $d(v_k) = d(v_j) = 2$ and thus G_1 is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$. \Box

We now come back to the proof for Case 4. By Claim A, we assume that $v_i v_j$ co-crosses $v_k v_l$ in G, as otherwise we have done the proof. Since $n \ge 7$, either $l \ne n$ or $i \ne 1$. We assume the former by symmetry. If $d(v_l) \le 7$, then G_3 or G_6 or G_7 or G_{12} is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$, and $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2$ hold. Hence we assume $d(v_l) \ge 8$. Under this condition, there is a chord $v_l v_t \in E(G)$ with $l < t \le n$ or $1 \le t < i$. If $1 \le t < i$, then $i \ne 1$ and thus $d(v_i) \ge 8$, as otherwise G_3 or G_6 or G_7 or G_{12} is properly contained in $G[v_1, v_n]$, and $\mathfrak{D}_1, \mathfrak{D}_2$ hold. So, there is a chord $v_s v_i$ with $t \le s < i$ (note that $v_l v_t$ can be crossed at most once).

Consequently, we have to consider the following subcases to complete the proof: (1) there is a chord $v_l v_t \in E(G)$ with $l < t \leq n$; (2) there is a chord $v_s v_i$ with $t \leq s < i$. We assume the former by symmetry, and meanwhile, assume that t - l is as large as possible.

If $v_l v_t$ crosses $v_a v_b$ with l < a < t, then by Claim A, $v_l v_t$ co-crosses $v_a v_b$, as otherwise we have finished the proof. This implies that a = l + 1 and b = t + 1. Since $d(v_l) \ge 8$, there is another chord $v_l v_s$ with $1 \le s < i$ or $b < s \le n$. Without loss of generality, assume the latter. By Claim A, $v_l v_s$ is not crossed (note that $v_l v_s$ cannot be co-crossed by another edge). If $6 \le |\mathcal{V}[v_l, v_s]| < n$, then applying the induction hypotheses to the graph $G[v_l, v_s]$ (note that there is no edge between $\mathcal{V}(v_l, v_s)$ and $\mathcal{V}(v_s, v_l)$), we conclude that it properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_{17}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold, and so does $G[v_1, v_n]$. If $|\mathcal{V}[v_l, v_s]| = 5$, then by (2), $G[v_l, v_s]$ properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_4, G_6, G_8, G_{13}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold, because the exclude structure mentioned in (2) cannot appear in $G[v_l, v_s]$.

On the other hand, suppose that $v_l v_t$ is not crossed. If $|\mathcal{V}[v_l, v_t]| \ge 6$, then applying the induction hypotheses to the graph $G[v_l, v_t]$, we conclude that it properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_{17}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold, and so does $G[v_1, v_n]$. Hence we assume $|\mathcal{V}[v_l, v_t]| \le 5$,

If there is a chord $v_l v_s$ with $1 \le s < i$, then $v_l v_s$ is not crossed by Claim A (note that $v_l v_s$ cannot be co-crossed by another edge). If $|\mathcal{V}[v_s, v_l]| \ge 6$, then applying the induction hypotheses to the graph $G[v_s, v_l]$, we conclude that it properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_{17}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold, and so does $G[v_1, v_n]$. If $|\mathcal{V}[v_s, v_l]| = 5$, then by (2), $G[v_s, v_l]$ properly contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_4, G_6, G_8, G_{13}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold, because the exclude structure mentioned in (2) cannot appear in $G[v_s, v_l]$. Therefore, we assume that there is no such a chord $v_l v_s$ with $1 \le s < i$.

Since $|\mathcal{V}[v_l, v_t]| \leq 5$ and t is an integer such that t - l is as large as possible, $d(v_l) \leq 7$, contradicting the assumption $d(v_l) \geq 8$.

This ends the proof of (3).

An *end-block* of a connected graph G of minimum degree at least 2 is a 2-connected subgraph containing exactly one cut-vertex of G if G has cut-vertices (i.e, G is not 2-connected), or G itself if G is 2-connected.

Theorem 4 Each outer-1-plane graph G with $\delta(G) \ge 2$ contains at least one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_{17}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold.

Proof: Theorem 3(3) implies this result for the case that G is 2-connected and $|G| \ge 6$. If G is not 2-connected or $|G| \le 5$, then let H be an end-block of G. Let v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n be the vertices of H with a clockwise sequence in the drawing, where n = |H| and only v_1 may be a cut-vertex. Since $\delta(G) \ge 2$, $n \ge 3$.

If $n \ge 6$, then by Theorem 3(3), one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_{17}$ is properly contained in $K[v_1, v_n]$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold. Hence G contains one of the configurations among $G_1 - G_{17}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold.

If n = 5, then by Theorem 3(2), $H[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains (and thus G contains) one configuration among $G_1 - G_4, G_6, G_8, G_{13}$ such that \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold unless $\mathcal{V}[v_1, v_5]$ is a path and $v_1v_4, v_2v_5 \in E(G)$, in which case $d(v_5) \leq 3$ and thus G_7 or G_{12} is contained in G such that \mathfrak{D}_2 holds.

If n = 4, then by Theorem 3(1), $H[v_1, v_n]$ properly contains (and thus G contains) G_1 or G_2 unless v_1v_3 crosses v_2v_4 and $v_1v_2, v_3v_4 \in E(G)$, in which case $d(v_4) \leq 3$ and thus G_3 or G_6 is contained in G. Clearly, \mathfrak{D}_1 and \mathfrak{D}_2 hold.

If n = 3, then by the 2-connectedness of H, $v_1v_2, v_2v_3, v_3v_1 \in E(H)$ and $d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 2$. This implies that G contains G_1 .

In the following, we first use Theorem 4 to deduce the theorem that was recently proved in [ZLLZ18].

Corollary 5 (1) Each outer-1-planar graph G with $\delta(G) \ge 2$ has an edge uv such that $d(u) + d(v) \le 9$; (2) Each maximal outer-1-planar graph G has an edge uv such that $d(u) + d(v) \le 7$.



Fig. 2: The construction of special outer-1-planar graphs

Proof: (1) Since each configuration G_i with $i \neq 6$ in Fig. 1 contains an edge uv with d(u) = 2 and $d(v) \leq 7$, and the configuration G_6 contains an edge uv with d(u) = d(v) = 3, by Theorem 4, G contains an edge uv such that $d(u) + d(v) \leq 9$.

(2) If G is a maximal outer-1-planar graph, then it is easy to see that $\delta(G) \ge 2$. If G contains G_3 in Fig. 1, then by $\mathfrak{D}_1, G + uv$ is outer-1-planar, contradicting the maximality of G. Hence by Theorem 4, G contains one of the configurations among $G_1, G_2, G_4 - G_{17}$, in each of which configuration there is an edge uv with either d(u) = 2 and $d(v) \le 5$, or d(u) = d(v) = 3. Hence G contains an edge uv such that $d(u) + d(v) \le 7$.

The following is another immediate corollary from Theorem 4, which will be used in Section 3 to prove an interesting result on the list 3-dynamic coloring of outer-1-planar graphs.

Corollary 6 Each outer-1-planar graph contains one of the following configurations:

- (1) a vertex of degree at most 1;
- (2) two adjacent vertices of degree 2;
- (3) a triangle incident with a vertex of degree 2;
- (4) the configuration G_i as in Fig. 1, where $i \in \{3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\}$.

To end this section, we show that the list of the configurations in Theorem 4 is minimal in the sense that for each configuration G_i with $1 \le i \le 17$, there are outer-1-planar graphs containing G_i that do not have any of another sixteen configurations.

Trivially, a cycle contains G_1 and does not contain G_i for any $2 \le i \le 17$. We now look at the left picture in Fig. 2. Into each of the shadowed areas, we embed the configurations G_i^* with $2 \le i \le 17$ so that x and y are end vertices (we do not care about the direction of the embedding of the configuration, although some configuration, say G_{14} for example, is not symmetric in its drawing), and denote the resulting graph by H_i . Here, G_i^* with $2 \le i \le 5$ is shown as in Fig. 2, and G_i^* with $6 \le i \le 17$ corresponds to G_i in Fig. 1. It is easy to check that the graph H_i with $2 \le i \le 17$ is an outer-1-planar



Fig. 3: An outer-1-planar graph with 3-dynamic chromatic number 6

graph that contains G_i and does not contain G_j with $j \neq i$. This also implies the sharpness of Corollary 5.

3 List 3-Dynamic Coloring

A proper k-coloring c of a graph G is a function from its vertex set V(G) to $\{1, 2, ..., k\}$ such that $c(u) \neq c(v)$ if u is adjacent to v. An r-dynamic k-coloring of a graph G is a proper k-coloring such that for any vertex v, there are at least min $\{r, d(v)\}$ distinct colors appearing on the neighbors of v. The minimum integer k so that G has a proper k-coloring or an r-dynamic k-coloring is the chromatic number or r-dynamic chromatic number of G, denoted by $\chi(G)$ or $\chi_r(G)$, respectively. Clearly, $\chi_r(G) \geq \chi_1(G) = \chi(G)$, where $r \geq 1$.

The notion of *r*-dynamic coloring was introduced by [Mon01], newly studied by [JKSW16, KMW15, KUAD20, ZB18], and also investigated under the notion of *r*-hued coloring, see [CFL⁺20, CLL⁺18, LMPV21, MHKX18, SFC⁺14, SL18, SLW16, ZCML17]. As starting cases of *r*-dynamic coloring, the 2-dynamic coloring (known as dynamic coloring in literature), see [Ali11, AGJ09, AGJ14b, AGJ14a, BS12, CFL⁺12, KLO16, LMRW18, MLGM16, MMSL06], and the 3-dynamic coloring, see [AKK⁺18, KP18, LL17], have been considered. The list analogue of dynamic coloring was introduced by [AGJ09], and investigated by many authors including [Esp10, GKMS21, KLP13, KP18, KP11, LL17, ZCML17, ZL21b, ZB20].

Suppose that a set L(v) of colors, called a *list* of v, is assigned to each vertex $v \in V(G)$. An *r*-dynamic *L*-coloring of *G* is an *r*-dynamic coloring *c* so that $c(v) \in L(v)$ for every $v \in V(G)$. A graph *G* is *r*-dynamic *k*-choosable if *G* has an *r*-dynamic *L*-coloring whenever |L(v)| = k for every $v \in V(G)$. The minimum integer *k* for which *G* is *r*-dynamic *k*-choosable is the *list r*-dynamic chromatic number of *G*, denoted by $ch_r(G)$. It is obvious that $ch_r(G) \ge \chi_r(G)$.

In this section, we apply the structural theorem obtained in Section 2 (precisely, Corollary 6) to prove that the list 3-dynamic chromatic number of every outer-1-planar graph is at most 6, and moreover, this upper bound 6 is sharp because of the existence of an outer-1-planar graph with 3-dynamic chromatic number 6, see Theorem 7.

Theorem 7 There exists an outer-1-planar graph with 3-dynamic chromatic number 6.

Proof: Look at the outer-1-planar graph G in Fig. 3. We claim that its 3-dynamic chromatic number is exactly 6. Since v_3 has degree 3 and v_2 , v_4 , v_5 are its neighbors, those four vertices have distinct colors in any 3-dynamic coloring G. Without loss of generalization, assume that v_2 , v_3 , v_4 and v_5 are colored with

1,2,3 and 4, respectively. It is clear that v_6 cannot be colored by 2 or 3 (otherwise two neighbors of v_5 , which has degree 3, are monochromatic), and also cannot be colored by 1 (otherwise two neighbors of v_7 , which has degree 3, are monochromatic). Therefore, we assume that v_6 is colored with 5 (note that the color 4 is forbidden on v_6 since it is adjacent to v_5 that has color 4). At this stage, the colors 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are forbidden on v_7 (otherwise two adjacent vertices receive a same color, or a vertex of degree 3 has two monochromatic neighbors). Hence we have to color v_7 with 6, and then color v_1 with 3. This implies that the 3-dynamic chromatic number of G is exactly 6.

Theorem 8 If G is an outer-1-planar graph, then $ch_3(G) \leq 6$.

Proof: Let G be a counterexample to the theorem statement with the smallest number of vertices. It follows that there exists a list assignment L of size 6 such that G has no 3-dynamic L-coloring and any proper subgraph of G is 3-dynamic L-colorable. Clearly, G is connected. In what follows, we prove a series of propositions contradicting Corollary 6 to complete the proof.

(1) $\delta(G) \ge 2$.

Suppose, to the contrary, that there is an edge uv with d(u) = 1. By the minimality of G, the graph G' = G - u has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c. It is easy to see that $d(v) \ge 2$, because otherwise G is exactly K_2 that is 3-dynamic L-colorable, a contradiction. If $d(v) \ge 4$, then v has degree at least 3 in G' and thus v is incident with at least three distinct colors in c. In this case we color u from its list with a color different from c(v), and then obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. If $d(v) \le 3$, then color u from its list with a color that is different from the colors used on v and its neighbor(s) in G'. This also constructs a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction.

(2) G does not contain two adjacent vertices of degree 2.

Suppose, to the contrary, that there is an edge uv with d(u) = d(v) = 2. By the minimality of G, the graph $G' = G - \{u, v\}$ has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c. By x and y, we denote the other neighbor of u and v besides v and u, respectively.

Assume first that $d(x) \ge 4$, then color u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y)\}$. If $d(y) \ge 4$, then color v with $c(v) \in L(v) \setminus \{c(x), c(u), c(y)\}$. If $d(y) \le 3$, then color v from its list with a color that is different from c(x), c(u), c(y) and the colors (at most two) used on the neighbor(s) of y in G'. In each case, at most five colors are forbidden and we have six available colors for v. Hence we obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction.

Second, assume that $d(x) \leq 3$, and by symmetry, that $d(y) \leq 3$. Coloring u with a color c(u) from its list that is different from c(x), c(y) and the colors (at most two) used on the neighbor(s) of x in G', and then coloring v from its list with a color that is different from c(x), c(y), c(u) and the colors (at most two) used on the neighbor(s) of y in G', we construct a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction.

(3) G does not contain a triangle xuyx in G with d(u) = 2.

Suppose, to the contrary, that G contains a triangle xuyx with d(u) = 2. By the minimality of G, $G' = G - \{u\}$ has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c. By (2), $d(x) \ge 3$ and $d(y) \ge 3$. Assume first that $d(x) \ge 4$. If $d(y) \ge 4$, then color u from its list with a color different from c(x) and c(y). If d(y) = 3, then color

u from its list with a color different from c(x), c(y) and $c(y_1)$, where y_1 is the third neighbor of y other than x and u. In each case, we obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. Second, assume that d(x) = 3, and by symmetry, that d(y) = 3. Let x_1 be the neighbor of x other than u and y, and let y_1 be the neighbor of y other than u and x. We color u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(x_1), c(y_1)\}$, and then obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. Note that $c(x) \neq c(y)$ since $xy \in E(G')$.

(4) G does not contain the configuration G_3 .

Suppose, to the contrary, that G contains a copy of G_3 as in Fig. 1. By the minimality of G, $G' = G - \{u\}$ has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c. By (2), we have $d(x) \ge 3$ and $d(y) \ge 3$. Assume first that $d(x) \ge 4$. If $d(y) \ge 4$, then color u from its list with a color different from c(x) and c(y). If d(y) = 3, then color u from its list with a color different from c(x), c(y), c(v) and $c(y_1)$, where y_1 is the third neighbor of y other than v and u. In each case, we obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. Second, assume that d(x) = 3, and by symmetry, that d(y) = 3. Let x_1 be the neighbor of x other than u and v, and let y_1 be the neighbor of y other than u and v. We color u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(x_1), c(y_1), c(v)\}$, and then obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. Note that $c(x) \neq c(y)$ since x and y are the only two neighbors of v in G'.

(5) G does not contain the configuration G_6 .

Suppose, to the contrary, that G contains a copy of G_6 as in Fig. 1. By the minimality of G, $G' = G - \{u\}$ has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c. By (3), we have $d(x) \ge 3$ and $d(y) \ge 3$. Assume first that $d(x) \ge 4$. If $d(y) \ge 4$, then color u from its list with a color different from c(x), c(y) and c(v). If d(y) = 3, then color u from its list with a color different from c(x), c(y), and c(v). If d(y) = 3, then color u from its list with a color different from c(x), c(y), and $c(y_1)$, where y_1 is the third neighbor of y other than v and u. In each case, we obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. Second, assume that d(x) = 3, and by symmetry, that d(y) = 3. Let x_1 be the neighbor of x other than u and v, and let y_1 be the neighbor of y other than u and v. We color u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(x_1), c(y_1), c(v)\}$, and then obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. Note that c(x), c(y) and c(v) are pairwise different since v has only two neighbors x and y in G'.

(6) G does not have a chordless quadrilateral vuwxv such that d(v) = d(w) = 3, d(u) = 2, v, u, w appear in the outer boundary of G consecutively in that order, and $G - \{u\} + vw$ is outer-1-planar. This directly implies that G does not contain the configuration G_7 or G_{10} .

If such a quadrilateral exists, then $G - \{u\} + vw$ has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c by the minimality of G. By choosing a color for u from $L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(v), c(w), c(v_1), c(w_1)\}$, we obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, where v_1 is the neighbor of v other than u, x and w_1 is the neighbor of w other than u, x (possibly $v_1 = w_1$).

(7) G does not contain the configuration G_8 .

Suppose, to the contrary, that G contains a copy of G_8 as in Fig. 1. By the minimality of G, $G' = G - \{u, w\}$ has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c. By (2) and (3), $d(x) \ge 3$ and $d(y) \ge 3$. By (6), $d(x) \ge 4$. If $d(y) \ge 4$, then color w with $c(w) \in L(w) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(v)\}$ and u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(v)\}$. If d(y) = 3, then color w with $c(w) \in L(w) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(v), c(y_1)\}$ and u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(y), c(v), c(y_1)\}$ and u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(y), c(v), c(y)\}$, where y_1 is the third neighbor of y other than v and w. In each case, we obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction.

(8) G does not contain the configuration G_9 .

Suppose, to the contrary, that G contains a copy of G_9 as in Fig. 1. By the minimality of $G, G' = G - \{u, w\}$ has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c. By (2), $d(x) \ge 3$ and $d(y) \ge 3$. By (6), $d(x) \ge 4$. If $d(y) \ge 4$, then color w with $c(w) \in L(w) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(v), c(z)\}$ and u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(v)\}$. If d(y) = 3, then color w with $c(w) \in L(w) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(v), c(y), c(y)\}$ and u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(v), c(y), c(y), c(y)\}$ and u with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(y), c(y), c(y)\}$, where y_1 is the third neighbor of y other than z and w. In each case, we obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction.

(9) G does not contain the configuration G_{11} .

Suppose, to the contrary, that G contains a copy of G_{11} as in Fig. 1. By $(2), d(x) \ge 3$ and $d(y) \ge 3$. By the minimality of G, $G' = G - \{u, v, a\}$ has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c. If $d(x) \ge 4$ and $d(y) \ge 4$, then color u, v and a in this order with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(z), c(w)\}$, $c(v) \in L(v) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(u), c(w)\}$ and $c(a) \in L(a) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(u), c(v)\}$, respectively. If $d(x) \ge 4$ and d(y) = 3 (the case when d(x) = 3 and $d(y) \ge 4$ is similar), then color u, a and v in this order with $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(z), c(w)\}$, $c(a) \in L(a) \setminus \{c(w), c(y), c(u), c(u), c(x)\}$ and $c(v) \in L(v) \setminus \{c(x), c(y), c(u), c(w)\}$, respectively, where y_1 is the third neighbor of y other than w and a. In each case, we obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. Hence in the following, we assume that d(x) = d(y) = 3.

Let x_1 be the third neighbor of x other than v and z, and let y_1 be the third neighbor of y other than w and a. By the minimality of G, $G' = G - \{u, v, a\}$ has a 3-dynamic L-coloring c. Color v with $c(v) \in L(v) \setminus \{c(x), c(x_1), c(z), c(w), c(y)\}$ and a with $c(a) \in L(a) \setminus \{c(v), c(y), c(y_1), c(w), c(x)\}$. If there is a color available for u that is different from the colors used on x, z, w, v, a and y, then use it to color u and we immediately obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. So, the worst case here is the colors on x, z, w, v, a and y are rainbow, say 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6, and moreover, $L(u) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

At this stage, we color u with 2 (resp. 5) and then try to recolor z (resp. a). If it is possible to recolor z (resp. a) with a color different from 1, 2, 3, 4, 6 (resp. 1, 3, 4, 5, 6), and different from the color on x_1 (resp. y_1), then we obtain a 3-dynamic L-coloring of G, a contradiction. So, the difficult case is that $L(z) = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, c(x_1)\}$ and $L(a) = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, c(y_1)\}$, where $3 \notin \{c(x_1), c(y_1)\}$. We now recolor z and a with 3, and recolor w, v and u in this order with $c(w) \in L(w) \setminus \{1, 3, 6, c(w_1, c(x_1)\}\}$ and $c(u) \in L(u) \setminus \{1, 3, 6, c(v), c(w)\}$, respectively. It is easy to see that the resulting coloring of G is a 3-dynamic L-coloring, a contradiction.

References

- [ABB⁺13] C. Auer, C. Bachmaier, F.J. Brandenburg, A. Gleißner, K. Hanauer, D. Neuwirth, and J. Reislhuber. Recognizing outer 1-planar graphs in linear time. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 8242 LNCS:107–118, 2013.
- [ABB⁺16] C. Auer, C. Bachmaier, F.J. Brandenburg, A. Gleißner, K. Hanauer, D. Neuwirth, and J. Reislhuber. Outer 1-planar graphs. *Algorithmica*, 74(4):1293–1320, 2016.
- [AGJ09] S. Akbari, M. Ghanbari, and S. Jahanbekam. On the list dynamic coloring of graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 157(14):3005–3007, 2009.

- [AGJ14a] S. Akbari, M. Ghanbari, and S. Jahanbekam. On the dynamic coloring of cartesian product graphs. Ars Combinatoria, 114:161–168, 2014.
- [AGJ14b] S. Akbari, M. Ghanbari, and S. Jahanbekam. On the dynamic coloring of strongly regular graphs. Ars Combinatoria, 113:205–210, 2014.
- [AKK⁺18] Y. Asayama, Y. Kawasaki, S.-J. Kim, A. Nakamoto, and K. Ozeki. 3-dynamic coloring of planar triangulations. *Discrete Mathematics*, 341(11):2988–2994, 2018.
- [Ali11] M. Alishahi. On the dynamic coloring of graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 159(2-3):152–156, 2011.
- [BDHS20] J. Bensmail, F. Dross, H. Hocquard, and E. Sopena. From light edges to strong edgecolouring of 1-planar graphs. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, 22(1):#2, 2020.
- [BS12] P. Borowiecki and E. Sidorowicz. Dynamic coloring of graphs. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 114(2):105–128, 2012.
- [CFL⁺12] Y. Chen, S. Fan, H.-J. Lai, H. Song, and L. Sun. On dynamic coloring for planar graphs and graphs of higher genus. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 160(7-8):1064–1071, 2012.
- [CFL⁺20] Y. Chen, S. Fan, H.-J. Lai, H. Song, and M. Xu. Decomposition and *r*-hued coloring of $K_4(7)$ -minor free graphs. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 384:#125206, 2020.
- [Che19] Q. Chen. Adjacent vertex distinguishing total colorings of outer 1-planar graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Mathematics and Combinatorial Computing*, 108:221–230, 2019.
- [CLL⁺18] J. Cheng, H.-J. Lai, K.J. Lorenzen, R. Luo, J.C. Thompson, and C.-Q. Zhang. *r*-hued coloring of sparse graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 237:75–81, 2018.
- [DE12] H.R. Dehkordi and P. Eades. Every outer-1-plane graph has a right angle crossing drawing. International Journal of Computational Geometry and Applications, 22(6):543–557, 2012.
- [DLM19] W. Didimo, G. Liotta, and F. Montecchiani. A survey on graph drawing beyond planarity. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 52(1):#4, 2019.
- [Egg86] R.B. Eggleton. Rectilinear drawings of graphs. *Utilitas Mathematica*, 29:149–172, 1986.
- [Esp10] L. Esperet. Dynamic list coloring of bipartite graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 158(17):1963–1965, 2010.
- [FM07] I. Fabrici and T. Madaras. The structure of 1-planar graphs. Discrete Mathematics, 307(7-8):854–865, 2007.
- [GKMS21] R. Gu, S.-J. Kim, Y. Ma, and Y. Shi. On list 3-dynamic coloring of near-triangulations. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 288:87–90, 2021.
- [GLM15] E.D. Giacomo, G. Liotta, and F. Montecchiani. Drawing outer 1-planar graphs with few slopes. *Journal of Graph Algorithms and Applications*, 19(2):707–741, 2015.

- [HEK⁺15] S.-H. Hong, P. Eades, N. Katoh, G. Liotta, P. Schweitzer, and Y. Suzuki. A linear-time algorithm for testing outer-1-planarity. *Algorithmica*, 72(4):1033–1054, 2015.
- [Hv12] D. Hudák and P. Šugerek. Light edges in 1-planar graphs with prescribed minimum degree. *Discussiones Mathematicae - Graph Theory*, 32(3):545–556, 2012.
- [JKSW16] S. Jahanbekam, J. Kim, O. Suil, and D.B. West. On *r*-dynamic coloring of graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 206:65–72, 2016.
- [KLM17] S.G. Kobourov, G. Liotta, and F. Montecchiani. An annotated bibliography on 1-planarity. *Computer Science Review*, 25:49–67, 2017.
- [KLO16] Y. Kim, S.J. Lee, and S.-I. Oum. Dynamic coloring of graphs having no K₅ minor. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 206:81–89, 2016.
- [KLP13] S.-J. Kim, S.J. Lee, and W.-J. Park. Dynamic coloring and list dynamic coloring of planar graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 161(13-14):2207–2212, 2013.
- [KMW15] R. Kang, T. Müller, and D.B. West. On r-dynamic coloring of grids. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 186(1):286–290, 2015.
- [Kot55] A. Kotzig. Contribution to the theory of eulerian polyhedra. *Mat. Čas. SAV (Math. Slovaca)*, 5:111–113, 1955.
- [KP11] S.-J. Kim and W.-J. Park. List dynamic coloring of sparse graphs. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 6831 LNCS:156–162, 2011.
- [KP18] S.-J. Kim and B. Park. List 3-dynamic coloring of graphs with small maximum average degree. *Discrete Mathematics*, 341(5):1406–1418, 2018.
- [KUAD20] A.I. Kristiana, M.I. Utoyo, R. Alfarisi, and Dafik. *r*-dynamic coloring of the corona product of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications*, 12(2):#2050019, 2020.
- [LL17] H. Li and H.-J. Lai. 3-dynamic coloring and list 3-dynamic coloring of $K_{1,3}$ -free graphs. Discrete Applied Mathematics, 222:166–171, 2017.
- [LMPV21] H. La, M. Montassier, A. Pinlou, and P. Valicov. *r*-hued (r + 1)-coloring of planar graphs with girth at least 8 for $r \ge 9$. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 91:#103219, 2021.
- [LMRW18] S. Loeb, T. Mahoney, B. Reiniger, and J. Wise. Dynamic coloring parameters for graphs with given genus. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 235:129–141, 2018.
- [LS17] Z.P. Lu and N. Song. On the minimum weight of a 3-connected 1-planar graph. *Bulletin of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 54(3):763–787, 2017.
- [LZ20] W. Liu and X. Zhang. Total coloring of outer-1-planar graphs: The cold case. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 12290 LNCS:469–480, 2020.

- [MHKX18] H. Ma, X. Hu, J. Kong, and M. Xu. Linear list *r*-hued coloring of sparse graphs. *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications*, 10(4):#1850045, 2018.
- [MLGM16] L.-Y. Miao, H.-J. Lai, Y.-F. Guo, and Z. Miao. Element deletion changes in dynamic coloring of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 339(5):1600–1604, 2016.
- [MMSL06] X. Meng, L. Miao, B. Su, and R. Li. The dynamic coloring numbers of pseudo-halin graphs. *Ars Combinatoria*, 79:3–9, 2006.
- [Mon01] B. Montgomery. Dynamic coloring of graphs. PhD thesis, West Virginia University, 2001.
- [NZ20] B. Niu and X. Zhang. Light edges in 1-planar graphs of minimum degree 3. *Discrete Mathematics*, 343(2):#111664, 2020.
- [SFC⁺14] H. Song, S. Fan, Y. Chen, L. Sun, and H.-J. Lai. On *r*-hued coloring of *K*₄-minor free graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 315-316(1):47–52, 2014.
- [SL18] H. Song and H.-J. Lai. Upper bounds of *r*-hued colorings of planar graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 243:262–269, 2018.
- [SLW16] H. Song, H.-J. Lai, and J.-L. Wu. On *r*-hued coloring of planar graphs with girth at least 6. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 198:251–263, 2016.
- [TZ14] J. Tian and X. Zhang. Pseudo-outerplanar graphs and chromatic conjectures. Ars Combinatoria, 114:353–361, 2014.
- [WK99] W. Wang and Zhang K. δ-matchings and edge-face chromatic numbers (in Chinese). Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica, 22(2):236–242, 1999.
- [ZB18] J. Zhu and Y. Bu. Channel assignment with r-dynamic coloring. Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics), 11343 LNCS:36–48, 2018.
- [ZB20] J. Zhu and Y. Bu. List *r*-dynamic coloring of sparse graphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 817:1–11, 2020.
- [ZCML17] H. Zhu, S. Chen, L. Miao, and X. Lv. On list r-hued coloring of planar graphs. Journal of Combinatorial Optimization, 34(3):874–890, 2017.
- [Zha13] X. Zhang. List total coloring of pseudo-outerplanar graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 313(20):2297–2306, 2013.
- [Zha16] X. Zhang. The edge chromatic number of outer-1-planar graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 339(4):1393–1399, 2016.
- [Zha17] X. Zhang. Total coloring of outer-1-planar graphs with near-independent crossings. *Journal of Combinatorial Optimization*, 34(3):661–675, 2017.
- [Zha20] X. Zhang. List edge coloring of outer-1-planar graphs. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, 36(3):737–752, 2020.

- [ZL21a] X. Zhang and B. Li. Linear arboricity of outer-1-planar graphs. *Journal of the Operations Research Society of China*, 9(1):181–193, 2021.
- [ZL21b] X. Zhang and Y. Li. Dynamic list coloring of 1-planar graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 344(5):#112333, 2021.
- [ZLLZ18] X. Zhang, J. Lan, B. Li, and Q. Zhu. Light paths and edges in families of outer-1-planar graphs. *Information Processing Letters*, 136:83–89, 2018.
- [ZLW12] X. Zhang, G. Liu, and J.-L. Wu. Edge covering pseudo-outerplanar graphs with forests. *Discrete Mathematics*, 312(18):2788–2799, 2012.