# On *r*-equitable colorings of bipartite graphs<sup>\*</sup>

Jiaqiang Ma, Renfeng Zhou, Xue Deng, Chenggang Wang, Xin Zhang<sup>†</sup>

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, China

#### Abstract

An *r*-equitable *k*-coloring of a graph *G* is a proper *k*-coloring of *G* so that the size of any two color classes differ by at most *r*. The least *k* such that *G* is *r*-equitably *k*-colorable is the *r*-equitable chromatic number of *G*. In this paper, we prove that the *r*-equitable chromatic number of a connected bipartite graph G(X, Y) with  $|X| = m \ge n = |Y|$  is at most  $\left\lceil \frac{m}{n+r} \right\rceil + 1$  provided that *G* satisfies a restriction on the number of edges. This generalizes a result of K.-W. Lih and P.-L. Wu [Discrete Math., 151 (1996) 155–160]. **Keywords:** equitable coloring, *r*-equitable coloring, bipartite graph

## **1** Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite, simple and undirected unless otherwise stated. By V(G) and E(G), we denote the *vertex set* and the *edge set* of a graph *G*, respectively. For a vertex  $v \in V(G)$ , deg(v) is the *degree* of v in *G*, which is the number of edges that are incident with v in *G*. For a subset of *U* of V(G), by e(U) we denote the number of edges in *G* which have at least one end vertex in *U*. Let  $\lceil x \rceil$  and  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denote, respectively, the smallest integer not less than x and the largest integer not greater than x. A connected *bipartite graph* (i.e., 2-colorable graph) G(X, Y) is a graph whose vertices can be divided into two disjoint sets Xand Y such that every edge connects a vertex in X to one in Y and there always exists a path between every pair of vertices.

If the vertices of a graph *G* are partitioned into *k* classes  $V_1, V_2, ..., V_k$  such that each  $V_i$  is an independent set with vertices colored by one single color and  $||V_i| - |V_j|| \le r$  for all  $i \ne j$ , then *G* is *r*-equitably *k*-colorable. The least integer *k* 

### UTILITAS MATHEMATICA 103(2017), pp. 161-165

<sup>\*</sup>Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11301410), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20130203120021), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. JB150714).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. Email address: xzhang@xidian.edu.cn.

such that a graph *G* is *r*-equitably *k*-colorable is the *r*-equitable chromatic number of *G* and denoted by  $\chi_{r=}(G)$ . It is obvious that an *r*-equitably *k*-colorable graph is certainly (r + 1)-equitably *k*-colorable. Although the concept of *r*-equitable colorability seems a natural generalization of usual equitable colorability (corresponding to r=1) introduced by Meyer [4] in 1973, it was first proposed in a recent paper by Hertz and Ries [1, 2], which gives a complete characterization of *r*-equitable colorability of graphs is still at the early stage. As far as we know, Wang, Yan, and Zhang [5] considered the *r*-equitable colorings of Kronecker products of complete graphs, and Yen [6] proposed a necessary and sufficient condition for a complete multipartite graph  $G := K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_r}$  to have an *r*-equitable *k*-coloring, and gave exact value of  $\chi_{r=}(G)$  as follows.

**Theorem 1.** [6] For any  $r \ge 1$ ,  $\chi_{r=}(K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_t}) = \sum_{i=1}^t \lceil n_i/(\theta + r) \rceil$ , where  $\theta = \max\{s \in \mathbb{N} : \lfloor n_i/s \rfloor \ge \lceil n_i/(s + r) \rceil\}$ .

Using Theorem 1, we can easily deduce a similar result on complete bipartite graphs.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $K_{m,n}$  be a compete bipartite graph with  $m \ge n \ge 2$ . If  $r \ge n - 1$ , then

$$\chi_{r=}(K_{m,n}) = \left\lceil \frac{m}{n+r} \right\rceil + 1.$$

*Proof.* Let m = a(n + r) - b with  $a = \lceil m/(n + r) \rceil$  and  $0 \le b < n + 1$ . Since  $\lfloor n/s \rfloor = 0 < 1 \le \lceil n/(s + r) \rceil$  for any s > n and  $\lfloor n/n \rfloor = 1 = \lceil n/(n + r) \rceil$ ,  $\theta \le n$ . On the other hand, if  $a \ge 2$ , then  $\lfloor m/n \rfloor = \lfloor (ar - b)/n \rfloor + a \ge \lfloor (ar - n)/n \rfloor + a \ge a$ , since  $ar - n \ge a(n - 1) - n \ge n - 2 \ge 0$ , and if a = 1, then  $\lfloor m/n \rfloor \ge 1 = a$ . In each case we have  $\lfloor m/n \rfloor \ge \lceil m/(n + r) \rceil$ . Therefore,  $\theta = n$  and thus  $\chi_{r=}(K_{m,n}) = \lceil m/(n + r) \rceil + \lceil n/(n + r) \rceil = \lceil m/(n + r) \rceil + 1$  by Theorem 1.

In this paper, we consider the *r*-equitable colorings of bipartite graphs which may be not complete. The aim of this paper is to generate the following result of Lih and Wu [3] to its *r*-equitable colorability version.

**Theorem 3.** [3] Let G(X, Y) be a connected bipartite graph with  $\varepsilon$  edges. If  $|X| = m \ge n = |Y|$  and  $\varepsilon < \lfloor m/(n+1) \rfloor (m-n) + 2m$ , then  $\chi_{1=}(G) \le \lceil m/(n+1) \rceil + 1$ .

In the next section, we give the detailed proof of the following main result of this paper.

**Theorem 4.** Let G(X, Y) be a connected bipartite graph with  $\varepsilon$  edges. If  $|X| = m \ge n = |Y|$  and

$$\varepsilon < \left\lfloor \frac{n(q+2)}{(q+1)(n+r) - m} \right\rfloor (m-n-r+1) + 2n, \tag{1}$$

where 
$$q = \lfloor \frac{m}{n+r} \rfloor$$
, then  
 $\chi_{r=}(G) \le \left\lceil \frac{m}{n+r} \right\rceil + 1.$  (2)

Now we use Theorem 4 to show the result of Lih and Wu (Theorem 3). Let  $q' = \lfloor \frac{m}{n+1} \rfloor$  and m = q'(n+1) + p with  $0 \le p < n+1$ . If p' = 0, then we partition X into q' independent subsets  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{q'}$  of size n+1, and the partition  $\{X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_{q'}, Y\}$  of V(G) implies a (q'+1)-equitable coloring of G. If  $p' \ge 1$ , then  $\varepsilon < \lfloor \frac{n(q'+2)}{(q'+1)(n+1)-m} \rfloor (m-n) + 2n$ , because otherwise  $\varepsilon \ge \lfloor \frac{n(q'+2)}{(q'+1)(n+1)-m} \rfloor (m-n) + 2n = \lfloor \frac{n(q'+2)}{n+1-p'} \rfloor (m-n) + 2n \ge (q'+2)(m-n) + 2n = q'(m-n) + 2m$ , a contradiction to the condition for Theorem 3. Hence  $\chi_{1=}(G) \le \lceil \frac{m}{n+1} \rceil + 1$  by Theorem 4.

To end this section, we show that the upper bound in (2) of Theorem 4 cannot be reduced in the general case. Choose r to be an integer no less than n - 1. For example, let r = n (other values of r can be similarly discussed). One can check that if

$$n \le \frac{m+2+\sqrt{4m^3+5m^2+4m+4}}{4m},$$

then

$$\left\lfloor \frac{n(q+2)}{(q+1)(n+r)-m} \right\rfloor (m-n-r+1) + 2n > mn.$$

Therefore, the complete bipartite graph  $G := K_{m,n}$  satisfies the restriction (1) on the number of edges, and thus  $\chi_{r=}(G) = \left\lceil \frac{m}{n+r} \right\rceil + 1$  by Theorem 2.

### 2 The proof of Theorem 4

Let  $q = \lfloor m/(n+r) \rfloor$ . It follows that m = q(n+r) + p with  $0 \le p < n+r$ , and  $\lceil m/(n+r) \rceil$  is q if p = 0, and is q + 1 if  $p \ne 0$ . Therefore, we just generate that  $\chi_{r=}(G) \le q+1$  if p = 0, and  $\chi_{r=}(G) \le q+2$  if  $p \ne 0$ . If q = 0, then m = p < n+r and G is r-equitably 2-colorable (coloring X with one color and Y with the other color). Hence in the following we always assume that  $q \ge 1$ .

**Case 1:** *p* = 0.

In this case, we have |X| = q(n + r). Dividing X into q independent subsets of size n + r, and recognizing Y as a single independent subset of G, we obtain an r-equitable (q + 1)-coloring of G.

**Case 2:**  $n \le p < n + r$ .

We divide X into q + 1 independent subsets so that q of them have size n + r and one of them has size p. Those q + 1 independent subsets along with Y form an r-equitable (q + 2)-coloring of G.

**Case 3:** 0 < *p* < *n*.

We generate that  $\chi_{r=}(G) \le q + 2$ . Hence if we can find a scheme which can *r*-equitably color *G* with q + 2 colors, then we prove the theorem.

To find the scheme, we reclassify the vertices first by moving a set B consisting of k vertices from Y to X, where

$$k = \left\lfloor \frac{n - p + r}{q + 2} \right\rfloor.$$

By the definition of *k*, we know that

$$n-k \ge n - \frac{n-p+r}{q+2} = \frac{n(q+2) - ((q+1)(n+r) - m)}{q+2}$$
  
$$\ge \frac{(q+1)(n+r) - m}{q+2} \cdot \left\lfloor \frac{n(q+2)}{(q+1)(n+r) - m} - 1 \right\rfloor$$
  
$$> \frac{(q+1)(n+r) - m}{q+2} \cdot \left( \frac{\varepsilon - 2n}{m-n-r+1} - 1 \right)$$
  
$$\ge \frac{(q+1)(n+r) - m}{q+2} \cdot \left( \frac{m-n-1}{m-n-r+1} - 1 \right)$$
  
$$= \frac{(q+1)(n+r) - m}{q+2} \cdot \left( \frac{r-2}{m-n-r+1} \right) \ge 0$$

if  $r \geq 2$ ,

$$n-k \ge n - \frac{n-p+1}{q+2} \ge n - \frac{n}{3} > 0$$

if r = 1, and

$$n - 2k + r \ge n - \frac{2(n - p + r)}{q + 2} + r \ge n - \frac{2(n - p + r)}{3} + r > \frac{1}{3}n + \frac{1}{3}r > 0.$$

Let n - p + r = k(q + 2) + t with  $0 \le t < q + 2$ . Since

$$(m+k) - t(n-k+r-1) - (q+1-t)(n-k+r) = k(q+2) + t - n + p - r = 0$$
(3)

we can partition m + k elements into t classes of size n - k + r - 1 and q + 1 - t classes of size n - k + r.

If k = 0, then we divide X into t independent subsets of size n + r - 1, q + 1 - t independent subsets of size n + r, and then recognize Y as a single independent subset of G. This implies an r-equitable (q + 2)-coloring of G. Therefore, we assume that k > 0.

**Moving Lemma:** If k > 0, then there exist  $A \subseteq X$  and  $B \subseteq Y$  such that |A| = n - 2k + r, |B| = k and  $A \cup B$  is an independent set of size n - k + r.

*Proof.* Let n = ak + b, where  $a = \lfloor \frac{n}{k} \rfloor$  and  $0 \le b < k$ . Suppose Y consists of vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  with  $\deg(v_1) \ge \deg(v_2) \ge \ldots \ge \deg(v_n)$ .

If  $b \neq 0$ , then choose  $U = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_b\}$ . If *U* contains no vertex of degree 1, then it is clear that  $e(U) \ge 2b$ . If *U* contains at least one vertex of degree 1, then  $\deg(v_i) = 1$  for every  $b < i \le n$ , which implies that  $e(U) = \varepsilon - (n - b) \ge (m + n - 1) - (n - b) = m + b - 1 \ge n + b > 2b$ . Note that we have assumed that m > n here, since it is trivial that  $\chi_{r=}(G) \le 2 = \lceil \frac{m}{n+r} \rceil + 1$  if m = n. If b = 0, then choose  $U = \emptyset$  and then e(U) = 0 = 2b. Indeed, in any case we have that  $e(U) \ge 2b$ .

Next, we partition Y - U into a independent subsets  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_a$  so that  $|Y_i| = k$  for any  $1 \le i \le a$ .

If 
$$e(Y_i) \ge m - n + 2k - r + 1$$
 for any  $1 \le i \le a$ , then  

$$\varepsilon = \sum_{i=1}^{n} E(Y_i) + e(U)$$

$$\ge a(m - n + 2k - r + 1) + 2b$$

$$= a(m - n - r + 1) + 2n$$

$$= \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k} \right\rfloor (m - n - r + 1) + 2n$$

$$\ge \left\lfloor \frac{n(q+2)}{n - p + r} \right\rfloor (m - n - r + 1) + 2n.$$

However, we have

$$\varepsilon < \left[ \frac{n(q+2)}{(q+1)(n+r) - m} \right] (m - n - r + 1) + 2n$$
  
=  $\left[ \frac{n(q+2)}{n - (m - q(n+r)) + r} \right] (m - n - r + 1) + 2n$   
=  $\left[ \frac{n(q+2)}{n - p + r} \right] (m - n - r + 1) + 2n.$ 

This contradiction implies that there exists a set  $Y_i$  with  $e(Y_i) \le m - n + 2k - r$  for some  $1 \le i \le a$ . Since there are only *m* vertices in *X*, *X* contains at least m - (m - n + 2k - r) = n - 2k + r vertices which are independent of  $Y_i$ . Hence we are able to choose the required sets *A* and *B* from *X* and *Y*, respectively.

Let  $A \subseteq X$  and  $B \subseteq Y$  be the vertex sets found by the moving lemma. By (3), we can divide X - A into *t* independent subsets of size n - k + r - 1 and q - t independent subsets of size n - k + r. Those *q* independent subsets along with  $A \cup B$  (an independent subset of size n - k + r) and Y - B (an independent subset of size n - k + r) and Y - B (an independent subset of size n - k + r) and Y - B (an independent subset of size n - k + r).

This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

## References

- [1] A. Hertz, and B. Ries, On *r*-equitable colorings of trees and forests. *Les Cahiers du GERAD*, (2011) G–2011–40.
- [2] A. Hertz, and B. Ries, A note on *r*-equitable *k*-colorings of trees. *Yugosl J. Oper. Res.*, 24 (2014) 293–298.
- [3] K.-W. Lih, P.-L. Wu, On equitable coloring of bipartite graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 151 (1996) 155–160.
- [4] W. Meyer, Equitable coloring, Amer. Math. Monthly, 80 (1973) 920–922.
- [5] W. Wang, Z. Yan, X. Zhang, On *r*-equitable chromatic threshold of Kronecker products of complete graphs, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 175 (2014) 129-134.
- [6] C.-H Yen, On *r*-equitable coloring of complete mulipartite graphs. *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 17(3) (2013) 991–998.