



## Note

Equitable vertex arboricity of subcubic graphs<sup>☆</sup>

Xin Zhang

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 1 August 2015

Received in revised form 31 January 2016

Accepted 1 February 2016

## Keywords:

Equitable coloring

Equitable partition

Vertex arboricity

Subcubic graph

## ABSTRACT

An equitable partition of a graph  $G$  is a partition of the vertex set of  $G$  such that the sizes of any two parts differ by at most one. In this note, we prove that every subcubic graph can be equitably partitioned into  $k$  induced forests for any integer  $k \geq 2$ .

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

All graphs considered in this note are finite, simple and undirected unless otherwise stated. By  $V(G)$ ,  $E(G)$ ,  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$ , we denote the vertex set, the edge set, the minimum degree and the maximum degree of a graph  $G$ , respectively. The *degree* of a vertex  $v$  in  $G$ , denoted by  $d(v, G)$ , is the number of edges that are incident with  $v$  in  $G$ . By  $e(G)$ , we denote the *size* of  $G$ , i.e., the number of edges in  $G$ . For two disjoint vertex set  $U$  and  $W$ , we use  $e(U, W)$  to denote the set of edges whose end-vertices lie in both  $U$  and  $W$ . The subgraph of  $G$  induced by a vertex set  $U$  is denoted by  $G[U]$ .

The *vertex arboricity*  $va(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum number of subsets into which the vertex set  $V(G)$  can be partitioned so that each subset induces a forest. This notion was introduced by Chartrand and Kronk [2] in 1969, who proved that  $va(G) \leq 3$  for every planar graph.

In 2013, Wu, Zhang and Li [5] introduced an equitable version of the vertex arboricity. An *equitable partition* of a graph  $G$  is a partition of the vertex set of  $G$  such that the sizes of any two parts differ by at most one. The *equitable vertex arboricity*  $va_{eq}(G)$  of a graph  $G$  is the minimum number of induced forests into which  $G$  can be equitably partitioned, and the *strong equitable vertex arboricity*  $va_{eq}^*(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum integer  $t$  so that  $G$  can be equitably partitioned into  $t'$  induced forests for any  $t' \geq t$ . Note that  $va_{eq}(G)$  and  $va_{eq}^*(G)$  can vary a lot. For example,  $va_{eq}(K_{n,n}) = 2$  and  $va_{eq}^*(K_{n,n}) = 2 \lfloor (\sqrt{8n+9}-1)/4 \rfloor$  if  $2n = t(t+3)$  and  $t$  is odd, see [5]. Concerning  $va_{eq}^*(G)$ , Wu, Zhang, and Li made the following two conjectures:

**Conjecture 1.**  $va_{eq}^*(G) \leq \lceil \frac{\Delta(G)+1}{2} \rceil$  for every graph  $G$ .

**Conjecture 2.** There is a constant  $c$  so that  $va_{eq}^*(G) \leq c$  for every planar graph  $G$ .

Recently, Esperet, Lemoine and Maffray [3] proved that  $va_{eq}^*(G) \leq \chi_a(G) - 1$  for any graph  $G$ , where  $\chi_a(G)$  denotes the acyclic chromatic number of  $G$ . It was proved by Borodin [1] that any planar graph has an acyclic coloring with at most 5 colors. Therefore, the answer to Conjecture 2 is positive. In other words,  $va_{eq}^*(G) \leq 4$  for every planar graph  $G$ . On the other hand, Conjecture 1 was confirmed for complete bipartite graphs [5] and graphs  $G$  with  $\Delta(G) \geq |G|/2$  [6].

<sup>☆</sup> Supported by SRFDP (No. 20130203120021), NSFC (Nos. 11301410, 11271230), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. JB150714).

E-mail address: [xzhang@xidian.edu.cn](mailto:xzhang@xidian.edu.cn).

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2016.02.003>

0012-365X/© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

A graph  $G$  is *cubic* if  $G$  is 3-regular, and is *subcubic* if it is a subgraph of a cubic graph. Grünbaum [4] showed that the acyclic chromatic number of any subcubic graph is at most 4, and this assertion  $\chi_a(G) \leq 4$  for any subcubic graph  $G$  is best possible. Therefore, by the assertion  $va_{eq}^*(G) \leq \chi_a(G) - 1$  of Esperet, Lemoine and Maffray, we immediately deduce that  $va_{eq}^*(G) \leq 3$  for every subcubic graph  $G$ .

In the remaining of this note, we confirm [Conjecture 1](#) for subcubic graphs by proving

**Theorem 3.** *Every subcubic graph can be equitably partitioned into  $k$  induced forests for any integer  $k \geq 2$ , i.e.,  $va_{eq}^*(G) \leq 2$  for every subcubic graph  $G$ .*

Actually, since we already have  $va_{eq}^*(G) \leq 3$ , we just need to prove the following

**Theorem 4.** *Any subcubic graph  $G$  can be equitably partitioned into two induced forests.*

Let  $G$  be a counterexample to [Theorem 4](#) with  $|E(G)| + |V(G)|$  being as small as possible. It is obvious that  $\delta(G) \geq 2$ .

**Lemma 5.**  *$G$  is a cubic graph.*

**Proof.** Suppose, to the contrary, that there is an edge  $uv$  with  $d(u, G) = 2$  and  $2 \leq d(v, G) \leq 3$ . By the minimality of  $G$ , the vertex set of the graph  $G - \{u, v\}$  can be equitably partitioned into two subsets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  so that each of them induces a forest. Without loss of generality, assume that  $d(v, G[V_1]) \leq 1$ . Moving  $v$  to  $V_1$  and  $u$  to  $V_2$ , we get an equitable partition  $V_1 \cup \{v\}$  and  $V_2 \cup \{u\}$  of  $V(G)$ , each of which induces a forest, a contradiction.  $\square$

Let  $x$  be a vertex with neighbors  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$  in  $G$ . By our assumption, the vertex set of  $G - xx_1$  can be equitably partitioned into two subsets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  so that each of them induces a forest. Since  $G$  is cubic by [Lemma 5](#), the order of  $G$  is even, and thus  $|V_1| = |V_2|$ . Let  $F_1 = G[V_1]$  and  $F_2 = G[V_2]$ . If  $x$  and  $x_1$  belong to different subsets, or  $x, x_1$  belong to a subset and  $x_2, x_3$  belong to the other subset, then  $G$  can be equitably partitioned into two induced forests  $F_1$  and  $F_2$ , a contradiction. Therefore, we assume, without loss of generality, that  $x, x_1, x_2 \in V_1$ .

**Lemma 6.** *Every vertex in  $V_2$  has at least two neighbors in  $V_1$ .*

**Proof.** If  $u \in V_2$  has at most one neighbor in  $V_1$ , then move  $u$  to  $V_1$  and move  $x$  to  $V_2$ . It is easy to see that  $V_1 \cup \{u\} \setminus \{x\}$  and  $V_2 \cup \{x\} \setminus \{u\}$  is an equitable partition of  $V(G)$  so that each of them induces a forest, a contradiction.  $\square$

By [Lemma 6](#), we split  $V_2$  into two subsets

$$\mathcal{A} = \{u \in V_2 \mid d(u, V_1) = 2\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B} = \{u \in V_2 \mid d(u, V_1) = 3\}.$$

Let  $s = |V_1| = |V_2|$  and  $a = |\mathcal{A}|$ . We have

$$e(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, V_1) = 2a + 3(s - a) = 3s - a$$

and

$$e(G) = 3s.$$

It follows that

$$e(F_1) + e(G[\mathcal{A}]) = e(G) - e(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}, V_1) = a.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{B}$  is an independent set and  $e(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}) = 0$ .

Since every vertex in  $\mathcal{A}$  has exactly two neighbors in  $V_1$  and has none neighbor in  $\mathcal{B}$ , it has exactly one neighbor in  $\mathcal{A}$ . This implies that

$$e(G[\mathcal{A}]) = \frac{a}{2}$$

and  $a$  is even. Therefore,

$$e(F_1 - xx_1) = e(F_1) - 1 = \frac{a}{2} - 1.$$

**Lemma 7.** *If a vertex  $v \in V_1$  has two neighbors  $u, w \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $uw \in E(G)$ .*

**Proof.** If  $uw \notin E(G)$ , then move  $u$  and  $w$  to  $V_1$ , and  $v$  and  $x$  to  $V_2$ . Note that  $v \neq x$ , since  $x$  has at most one neighbor in  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let  $F'_1 := F_1 \cup \{u, w\} \setminus \{v, x\}$  and  $F'_2 := F_2 \cup \{v, x\} \setminus \{u, w\}$ . Since  $u$  and  $w$  have degrees at most 1 in  $F'_1$ , and  $uw \notin E(F'_1)$ ,  $F'_1$  is an induced forest. If  $v \neq x_1, x_2, x_3$ , then  $x$  and  $v$  have degrees at most 1 in  $F'_2$ , and  $xv \notin E(F'_2)$ . If  $v = x_j$  for some  $j = 1, 2, 3$ , then  $x$  has degree at most 2 in  $F'_2$  and  $x$  is the unique neighbor of  $v$  in  $F'_2$ . In either case,  $F'_2$  is an induced forest. Hence  $G$  can be equitably partitioned into two induced forests  $F'_1$  and  $F'_2$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** Every vertex in  $V_1$  has at most two neighbors in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** If a vertex in  $V_1$  has three neighbors  $u, v, w$  in  $\mathcal{A}$ , then by Lemma 7,  $u, v$  and  $w$  induce a triangle in  $G[\mathcal{A}]$ . However, this is impossible, since  $G[\mathcal{A}]$  is a forest.  $\square$

Let  $S = \{u \in V_1 \mid \exists v \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ s.t. } uv \in E(G)\}$  denotes the set of vertices in  $V_1$  that are adjacent to some vertex in  $\mathcal{A}$ . By Lemma 8,  $e(S, \mathcal{A}) \leq 2|S|$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{A}$ , we have  $e(S, \mathcal{A}) = e(V_1, \mathcal{A}) = 2a$ . This implies that

$$|S| \geq a.$$

**Lemma 9.** If a vertex  $u \in \mathcal{A}$  has two neighbors  $v$  and  $w$  in  $V_1$ , then each of  $v$  and  $w$  is incident with at least one edge in  $F_1 - xx_1$ . In other words,  $d(v, F_1 - xx_1) \geq 1$  for each  $v \in S$ .

**Proof.** Suppose, to the contrary, that  $d(v, F_1 - xx_1) = 0$ . Moving  $u$  to  $V_1$  and  $x$  to  $V_2$ , we obtain an equitable partition  $V_1 \cup \{u\} \setminus \{x\}$  and  $V_2 \cup \{x\} \setminus \{u\}$  of  $V(G)$ , each of which induces a forest, a contradiction.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 4.** Let  $G$  be a counterexample to Theorem 4 with  $|E(G)| + |V(G)|$  being as small as possible. Since  $|S| \geq a$ , there are at least  $\frac{a}{2}$  edges in  $F_1 - xx_1$ , otherwise there is at least one vertex in  $S$  that is incident with no edge in  $F_1 - xx_1$ , contradicting Lemma 9. However, this is impossible since  $e(F_1 - xx_1) = \frac{a}{2} - 1$ . This contradiction completes the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] O.V. Borodin, On acyclic coloring of planar graphs, *Discrete Math.* 25 (1979) 211–236.
- [2] G. Chartrand, H.V. Kronk, The point-arboricity of planar graphs, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* 44 (1969) 612–616.
- [3] L. Esperet, L. Lemoine, F. Maffray, Equitable partition of graphs into induced forests, *Discrete Math.* 338 (8) (2015) 1481–1483.
- [4] B. Grünbaum, Acyclic colorings of planar graphs, *Israel J. Math.* 14 (1973) 390–408.
- [5] J.-L. Wu, X. Zhang, H. Li, Equitable vertex arboricity of graphs, *Discrete Math.* 313 (2013) 2696–2701.
- [6] X. Zhang, J.-L. Wu, A conjecture on equitable vertex arboricity of graphs, *Filomat* 28 (1) (2014) 217–219.