# Light triangles in plane graphs with near-independent crossings \*

Jingjing Tian

Derpartment of Applied Mathematics Northwestern Polytechnical University, Xi'an, 710129, China

Xin Zhang<sup>†</sup>

School of Mathematics and Statistics Xidian University, Xi'an, 710071, China

#### Abstract

It is proved that every plane graph with near-independent crossings and with minimum degree at least five contains a light triangle.

## **1** Introduction

All graphs considered in the paper are finite, simple and undirected. By V(G), E(G), F(G),  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$ , we denote the set of vertices, the set of edges, the set of faces, the minimum degree and the maximum degree of a graph *G*, respectively. A k-,  $k^+$ - and  $k^-$ -vertex (resp. face) is a vertex (resp. face) of degree k, at least k and at most k, respectively. For other undefined concepts we refer the reader to [1].

A graph is 1-*planar* if it can be drawn on a plane so that each edge is crossed by at most one other edge. The concept of the 1-planarity was introduced by Ringel [7] in 1965 when he considered the vertex-face coloring of plane graphs (corresponding to the vertex coloring of 1-planar graphs). Although nearly fifty years past, the class of 1-planar graphs is still litter explored compared to the well-established planar graphs.

#### UTILITAS MATHEMATICA 97(2015), pp. 399-405

Keywords: NIC-planar graph, light triangle, discharging.

MSC2010: 05C75, 05C10.

<sup>\*</sup>This research is partially supported by XJEDU (No. 2012I38), NSFC (No. 11301410), the Natural Science Basic Research Plan in Shaanxi Province of China (No. 2013JQ1002), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20130203120021), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. K5051370003).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. Email: xzhang@xidian.edu.cn.

We now turn the attention to the drawing of 1-planar graphs. A 1-planar drawing (of a 1-planar graph) is good if it contains the minimum number of crossings, and normally, we assume that every 1-planar drawing considered in this paper is good. Note that every crossing in a 1-planar drawing is generalized by two mutually crossed edges, thus for every crossing c there exists a vertex set N(c) of size four consisting of the end-vertices of the two edges that generalize c. It is easy to see that  $|N(c_1) \cap N(c_2)| \le 2$  for any two distinct crossings  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  in a good 1-planar drawing. In view of this, we can define two subclasses of 1-planar graphs. Let G be a 1-planar graph. If  $|N(c_1) \cap N(c_2)| = 0$  for any two distinct crossings  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , then G is a plane graph with independent crossings (see [6]) or *IC-planar graph* for short (see [8]). If  $|N(c_1) \cap N(c_2)| \le 1$  for any two distinct crossings  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ , then G is a plane graph with near-independent crossings or NIC-planar graph for short. The notion of NIC-planarity was introduced by Zhang [9] very recently, and also by Czap and Sugerek [3]. Let  $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$ be the classes of planar graphs, IC-planar graphs, NIC-planar graphs and 1-planar graphs, respectively. It is easy to see that  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{G}_0 \subset \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2$ .

Let *H* be a connect graph and let *G* be a family of graphs. If for any graph  $G \in G$ , *G* contains a subgraph  $K \simeq H$  such that  $\max_{x \in V(K)} \{d_G(x)\}$  is bounded by a constant independent of *G*, then we say that *H* is *light* in *G*, and otherwise *heavy* in *G*. Seeking light small graphs in a giving graph class is a classic problem in the structural graph theory. A famous result by Borodin [2] states that every planar graph with minimum degree 5 contains a triangle *uvw* with  $d(u) + d(v) + d(w) \le 17$  and the bound 17 is sharp, thus triangle is light in the class of planar graphs with minimum degree 5. For the class of 1-planar graphs with the same minimum degree, the result is surprisedly opposite. Actually, for any positive integer *m* there is a 1-planar graph with minimum degree at least 5 that contains isomorphic copies of triangles and every triangle contains an *m*-vertex (see [5]). Hence triangle is heavy in the class of 1-planar graphs with minimum degree at least 5.

In view of this, an interesting problem is to find subclasses of 1-planar graphs with minimum degree at least 5 in which triangle is light. A recent result by Zhang [10] states that triangle is light in the class of 1-planar graphs with minimum degree at least 5 and with minimum edge degree at least 12. In this paper, we consider the lightness of triangle in the class of NIC-planar graphs with high minimum degree. The following is the main result, which implies that triangle is light in the class of NIC-planar graphs with minimum degree at least 5, and thus in the class of IC-planar graphs with minimum degree at least 5.

**Theorem 1.1.** Every plane graph with near-independent crossings and with minimum degree at least 5 contains a triangle *uvw* with  $\max\{d(u), d(v), d(w)\} \le 26$ .

Let *G* be an NIC-planar drawing of a graph. The *associated plane graph* of *G*, denoted by  $G^{\times}$ , is the graph obtained from *G* by turning all crossings of *G* into new 4-vertices, and those new 4-vertices are called *false vertices*. The face that is incident with no false vertex in  $G^{\times}$  is called *true face*, and otherwise, we call it *false face*. Let *v* be a *k*-vertex in  $G^{\times}$  and let  $v_1, \ldots, v_k$  be the neighbors of *v* that lies clockwise. By  $f_i$  with  $1 \le i \le k$ , we denote the face that is incident with  $vv_i$ 

and  $vv_{i+1}$  in  $G^{\times}$ . Here the addition on the subscripts are taken modulo k. Note that for an NIC-planar graph with minimum degree at least 5,  $d_G(v) = d_{G^{\times}}(v)$  for any vertex  $v \in V(G)$  and  $d_{G^{\times}}(v) = 4$  if and only if v is false in  $G^{\times}$ . Hence we do not distinguish  $d_G(v)$  and  $d_{G^{\times}}(v)$  in the following arguments.

## 2 Discharging: the proof of Theorem 1.1

Suppose that *G* is a counterexample to Theorem 1.1 and that  $G^{\times}$  is the associated plane graph of *G*. Assign an initial charge *c* to each element  $x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})$  as follows:

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} d(x) - 6, & \text{if } x \in V(G^{\times}); \\ 2d(x) - 6, & \text{if } x \in F(G^{\times}), \end{cases}$$

By Euler's formula on  $G^{\times}$ ,  $\sum_{x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})} c(x) = -12$ . We now redistribute the charges among  $V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})$  according to the rules defined below.

**Rule 1** Every 27<sup>+</sup>-vertex sends  $\frac{7}{9}$  to each of its incident faces;

**Rule 2** Let f = xyz be a 3-face with a 27<sup>+</sup>-vertex x.

**Rule 2.1** If y and z are 5<sup>-</sup>-vertices, then f sends  $\frac{7}{18}$  to each of y and z;

**Rule 2.2** If y is a 27<sup>+</sup>-vertex and z is a 4- or 5-vertex, then f sends  $\frac{14}{9}$  to z;

**Rule 2.3** If y is a 4-vertex and z is a 5-vertex, then f sends  $\frac{1}{2}$  to y and  $\frac{5}{18}$  to z; **Pule 2.4** If y is a 4-vertex and z is a vertex of degree between 6 and 26

**Rule 2.4** If y is a 4- or 5-vertex and z is a vertex of degree between 6 and 26, then f sends  $\frac{7}{9}$  to y.

**Rule 3** Every 4<sup>+</sup>-face sends 1 to each of its incident 4-vertices and  $\frac{1}{n_5}$  with  $n_5 \ge 1$  to each of its incident 5-vertices, where  $n_5$  is the number of 5-vertices that are incident with f.

Let c'(x) be the final charge of  $x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})$  after applications of the above rules. We now prove that  $c'(x) \ge 0$  for each  $x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})$ , therefore,

$$-12 = \sum_{x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})} c(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})} c'(x) \ge 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

Let *f* be a face in  $G^{\times}$ . If d(f) = 3, then Rules 1 and 2 guarantee that  $c'(f) \ge 0$ . If d(f) = 4, then the number of 4-vertices that are incident with *f* is at most 1 by the drawing of *G*, thus by Rule 3,  $c'(f) \ge 2 \times 4 - 6 - 1 \times 1 - 3 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$ . If d(f) = 5, then *f* is incident with at most two 4-vertices by the drawing of *G*  and  $c'(f) \ge 2 \times 5 - 6 - 2 \times 1 - 3 \times \frac{1}{3} = 1 > 0$  by Rule 3. If  $d(f) \ge 6$ , then  $c'(f) \ge 2d(f) - 6 - d(f) = d(f) - 6 \ge 0$  by Rule 3. Let *v* be a vertex in  $G^{\times}$ . If  $d(v) \ge 27$ , then  $c'(v) \ge 27 - 6 - 27 \times \frac{7}{9} = 0$  by Rule 1. If  $6 \le d(v) \le 26$ , then  $c'(v) = c(v) \ge 0$  since *v* is not involved in the rules. Until now, we are left only two cases.

**Case 1.** d(v) = 4. <u>Subcase 1.1.</u> v is incident with at least two 4<sup>+</sup>-faces. It is easy to see that  $c'(v) \ge 4 - 6 + 2 \times 1 = 0$  by Rule 3.

*Subcase 1.2. v is incident with exactly one* 4<sup>+</sup>*-face.* 

Without loss of generality, assume that  $d(f_4) \ge 4$  and  $d(f_1) = d(f_2) = d(f_3) =$ 3. If  $d(v_2) \ge 27$ , then by Rules 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, each of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  sends at least  $\min\{\frac{14}{9}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{7}{9}\} = \frac{1}{2}$  to v. By Rule 3,  $f_4$  sends 1 to v. Hence  $c'(v) \ge 4 - 6 + 2 \times \frac{1}{2} + 1 = 0$ . If  $d(v_3) \ge 27$ , then we still have  $c'(v) \ge 0$  similarly. If  $d(v_2) \le 26$  and  $d(v_3) \le 26$ , then  $d(v_1) \ge 27$  and  $d(v_4) \ge 27$ , otherwise a light triangle with all 26<sup>-</sup>-vertices occurs in G, a contradiction. In this case, each of  $f_1$  and  $f_3$  sends at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  to v by Rules 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4 and  $f_4$  sends 1 to v by Rule 3. This implies that  $c'(v) \ge 4 - 6 + 1 + 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0.$ 

*Subcase 1.3.* v is incident with only 3<sup>+</sup>-faces.

Since G is a counterexample, v is incident with at least two  $27^+$ -vertices. If v is incident with four faces that are incident with a 27<sup>+</sup>-vertices, then  $c'(v) \ge c'(v)$  $4 - 6 + 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$  by Rules 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4. Hence, we assume, without loss of generality, that  $v_1$  and  $v_4$  are 27<sup>+</sup>-vertices. By Rules 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, each of  $f_1$  and  $f_3$  sends at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  to v, and  $f_4$  sends  $\frac{14}{9}$  to v. Therefore,  $c'(v) \ge 4-6+2\times\frac{1}{2}+\frac{14}{9}>0$ . **Case 2**. d(v) = 5.

Subcase 2.1. v is incident with at least three 4<sup>+</sup>-faces.

By Rule 3, each 4<sup>+</sup>-face sends  $\frac{1}{3}$  to v. This implies that  $c'(v) \ge 5-6+3 \times \frac{1}{3} = 0$ . Subcase 2.2. v is incident with exactly two 4<sup>+</sup>-faces.

If v is incident with two adjacent 4<sup>+</sup>-faces, say  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , then  $f_3$ ,  $f_4$  and  $f_5$ are 3-faces, and moreover, at least one of them, say  $f_3$ , is true and incident with a  $27^+$ -vertex by the choice of G. This implies by Rules 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4 that  $f_3$  sends at least min $\{\frac{7}{18}, \frac{14}{9}, \frac{7}{9}\} = \frac{7}{18}$  to v. By Rule 3, each of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  sends  $\frac{1}{3}$  to v. Hence,  $c'(v) \ge 5 - 6 + 2 \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{7}{18} > 0.$ 

If v is incident with two nonadjacent 4<sup>+</sup>-faces, say  $f_1$  and  $f_3$ , then  $f_2$ ,  $f_4$  and  $f_5$  are 3-faces. If one of them is true, then by same arguments as in Subcase 2.2 we have c'(v) > 0. Hence we assume that  $f_2, f_4$  and  $f_5$  are all false. By the definition of G,  $v_5$  must be false. Since  $vv_1v_4$  is a triangle in G, one of  $v_1$  and  $v_4$ , say  $v_1$ , is a 27<sup>+</sup>-vertex. By Rule 2.3,  $f_5$  sends  $\frac{5}{18}$  to v. If  $v_2$  is false, then  $f_1$  is incident with at most  $d(f_1) - 2$  vertices of degree 5, which implies by Rule 3 that  $f_1$  sends to v at least  $\frac{2d(f_1)-6-\lfloor d(f_1)/2 \rfloor}{d(f_1)-2} \ge \frac{1}{2}$  for  $d(f_1) \ge 4$ , since  $f_1$  is incident with at most  $\lfloor \frac{d(f_1)}{2} \rfloor$  false vertices. By Rule 3,  $f_3$  sends at least  $\frac{1}{3}$  to v. Therefore,  $c'(v) \ge 5 - 6 + \frac{5}{18} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} > 0$ . We now assume that  $v_2$  is true and  $v_3$  is false. If  $f_1$  is a 5<sup>+</sup>-face, then  $f_1$  sends to v at least  $\frac{2d(f_1)-6-\lfloor d(f_1)/2\rfloor}{d(f_1)-1} \ge \frac{1}{2}$  for  $d(f_1) \ge 5$ , since  $f_1$  is incident with at most  $d(f_1) - 1$  vertices of degree 5. If  $f_1$  is a 4-face, then vis incident with at most one false vertex, in which case v is incident with at most two 5-vertices. Hence by Rule 3,  $f_1$  sends to v at least min $\{\frac{2\times4-6-1}{2}, \frac{2\times4-6}{3}\} \ge \frac{1}{2}$ . In any case,  $f_1$  sends at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  to v and  $f_3$  sends at least  $\frac{1}{3}$  to v by Rule 3. Therefore,  $c'(v) \ge 5 - 6 + \frac{5}{18} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} > 0.$ <u>Subcase 2.3</u>. v is incident with only one 4<sup>+</sup>-face, say f<sub>1</sub>.

By Rule 3,  $f_1$  sends at least  $\frac{1}{3}$  to v. If v is incident with at least two true 3-faces, then each of them is incident with a  $27^+$ -vertex by the choice of G, from which v receives at least  $\frac{7}{18}$  by Rules 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4. Hence  $c'(v) \ge 5 - 6 + \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{7}{18} > 0$ 

and we assume that v is incident with at most one true 3-face.

If  $v_3$  is false, then  $v_2$ ,  $v_4$  and  $v_5$  are true by the choice of *G* and thus  $v_1$  is false. Since  $vv_2v_4$  is a triangle in *G*, one of  $v_2$  and  $v_4$  is a 27<sup>+</sup>-vertices, which implies that either  $f_2$  or  $f_3$  sends  $\frac{5}{18}$  by Rule 2.3. Note that  $f_4$  is a true 3-face, from which v receives at least  $\frac{7}{18}$  by Rules 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4. Hence  $c'(v) \ge 5 - 6 + \frac{5}{18} + \frac{7}{18} + \frac{1}{3} = 0$ .

We now assume that  $v_3$  is true, and moreover, that  $v_5$  is true by symmetry. Since v is incident with at most one true 3-face,  $v_4$  is false. However, in this case  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  cannot be false by the choice of G. This implies that  $f_2$  and  $f_5$  are true 3-faces, a contradiction.

Subcase 2.4. v is incident only with 3-faces.

By the choice of *G*, at most one of  $v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4$  and  $v_5$  is false, which implies that *v* is incident with at least three true 3-faces. Since every true 3-face incident with *v* contains a 27<sup>+</sup>-vertex, from which *v* received at least  $\frac{7}{18}$  by Rules 2.1, 2.2 and 2.4. Therefore,  $c'(v) \ge 5 - 6 + 3 \times \frac{7}{18} > 0$ .

### **3** Remarks on Theorem **1.1**

Fabrici, Hexel, Jendrol' and Walter [4] showed for every integer  $m \ge 4$  that there is a 3-connected planar graph G with  $\delta(G) \ge 4$  such that each subgraph of Gisomorphic to a triangle has a vertex x with  $d(x) \ge m$ . Hence triangle is heavy in the class of planar graphs with minimum degree at least 4. Since the class of planar graphs is a subclass of NIC-planar graphs, triangle is also heavy in the class of NIC-planar graphs with minimum degree at least 4. Therefore, the condition on the minimum degree in Theorem 1.1 cannot be weakened, but whether the upper bound on the maximum degree of the triangle in the theorem is sharp is unknown. Actually, if we replace the condition on the minimum degree with  $\delta(G) = 6$  (note that every NIC-planar graph contains a vertex of degree at most 6, see [9]), we have the following result with smaller upper bound on the maximum degree of the light triangle. Note that Theorem 3.1 is originally proved for 1-planar graphs, a larger class than NIC-planar graphs.

**Theorem 3.1.** ([5]) Every plane graph with near-independent crossings and with minimum degree 6 contains a triangle *uvw* with  $\max\{d(u), d(v), d(w)\} \le 10$ .

### 4 An improvement of Theorem 3.1

In the section, we give the following theorem, which improves Theorem 3.1.

**Theorem 4.1.** Every plane graph with near-independent crossings and with minimum degree 6 contains a triangle *uvw* so that  $\max\{d(u), d(v), d(w)\} \le 7$ .

**Proof.** The strategy of the proof of this result is same to the one of Theorem 1.1.

First, assign each element  $x \in V(G^{\times}) \cup F(G^{\times})$  an initial charge

$$c(x) = \begin{cases} d(x) - 6, & \text{if } x \in V(G^{\times}); \\ 2d(x) - 6, & \text{if } x \in F(G^{\times}), \end{cases}$$

where  $G^{\times}$  is the associated plane graph of the counterexample G to the result.

Second, define proper discharging rules. Before stating them, we need some more notions. A *false fan* that is incident with a true vertex v is a subgraph of  $G^{\times}$  that consists of four vertices u, v, w and x so that (1) u, x and w are three neighbors of v in  $G^{\times}$  that lies clockwise; (2) x is a false vertex; (3)  $ux, wx \in E(G^{\times})$ , i.e.,  $uw \in E(G)$ . It is easy to see that v is incident with two false 3-faces if v is incident with a false fan. We call those false 3-faces derived from false fans *false*  $3^{F}$ -*faces*.

**Claim A.** Every true vertex v in  $G^{\times}$  is incident with at most  $\lfloor \frac{d(v)}{3} \rfloor$  false fans, thus at most  $2\lfloor \frac{d(v)}{3} \rfloor$  false  $3^{F}$ -faces.

**Proof.** Otherwise, there are two adjacent false fans, that is, a subgraph of  $G^{\times}$  that consists of six vertices  $v, u_1, u_2, w, x$  and y so that (1)  $u_1, x, w, y$  and  $u_2$  are neighbors of v in  $G^{\times}$  that lies clockwise and  $u_1 x w y u_2$  is a path in  $G^{\times}$ ; (2) x and y are false vertices. Now, one can see that x and y are two crossings in G satisfying  $|N(x) \cap N(y)| \ge 2$ , a contradiction to the definition of NIC-planarity.

The discharging rules are as follows.

**Rule 1** Every 8<sup>+</sup>-vertex sends  $\frac{1}{2}$  to each of its incident false 3<sup>*F*</sup>-faces;

**Rule 2** Every false  $3^{F}$ -face sends the positive charge saving after applying Rule 1 to its incident 4-vertex;

**Rule 3** Every 4<sup>+</sup>-face sends 2 to each of its incident 4-vertices.

Let c'(x) be the final charge of  $x \in V(G^{\times}) \cup F(G^{\times})$  after applications of the above rules. Since every 4-face is incident with at most one 4-vertex and every 5<sup>+</sup>-face *f* is incident with at most  $\lfloor \frac{d(f)}{2} \rfloor$  false vertices,  $c'(f) \ge 2 \times 4 - 6 - 2 = 0$  for d(f) = 4 and  $c'(f) \ge 2d(f) - 6 - 2\lfloor \frac{d(f)}{2} \rfloor \ge 0$  for  $d(f) \ge 5$  by Rule 3. If *f* is a 3-face, then by Rules 1 and 2, c'(f) = c(f) = 0.

Let v be a vertex of  $G^{\times}$ . If  $6 \le d(v) \le 7$ , then  $c'(v) = c(v) \ge 0$ . If  $d(v) \ge 8$ , then by Rule 1 and Claim A,  $c'(v) \ge d(v) - 6 - \lfloor \frac{d(v)}{3} \rfloor \ge 0$ . If d(v) = 4 and v is incident with at least one 4<sup>+</sup>-vertex, then by Rule 3,  $c'(v) \ge 4 - 6 + 2 = 0$ . If d(v) = 4 and v is incident only with 3-faces, then it is easy to see that all 3-faces that are incident with v are false  $3^F$ -faces, and v is incident with at least two 8<sup>+</sup>-vertices by the choice of G. We now end the proof by distinguishing two nonisomorphic cases. First, if  $v_1$  and  $v_3$  are 8<sup>+</sup>-vertices, then by Rules 1 and 2,  $c'(v) \ge 4 - 6 + 4 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$ , since each of  $f_1, f_2, f_3$  and  $f_4$  sends at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  to v. Second, if  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are 8<sup>+</sup>vertices, then  $f_1$  sends  $2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 1$  to v and each of  $f_2$  and  $f_4$  sends at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  to v by Rules 2 and 3, which implies that  $c'(v) \ge 4 - 6 + 1 + 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$ .

As we know, the class of IC-planar graphs is a subclass of the one of NICplanar graphs, and every IC-planar graph also contains a vertex of degree at most

6 (see [8]), where the bound 6 is sharp. We end this paper by the following result on the lightness of triangle in the class of IC-planar graphs.

**Theorem 4.2.** Every plane graph with independent crossings and with minimum degree 6 contains a triangle *uvw* so that d(u) = d(v) = d(w) = 6.

The proof of Theorem 4.2 is almost the same with the one of Theorem 4.1. One difference is that Claim A can be improved to the following Claim B for IC-planar graphs, the proof of which is trivial.

**Claim B.** Every true vertex v in  $G^{\times}$  is incident with at most one false fan, thus at most two false  $3^{F}$ -faces.

Another difference is the estimation of the final charges of large vertices, which are 7<sup>+</sup>-vertices here. Actually, after replacing 8<sup>+</sup>-vertices with 7<sup>+</sup>-vertices in Rule 1, we have  $c'(v) \ge 7 - 6 - 2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$  for  $d(v) \ge 7$  by Claim B.

### References

- [1] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*. North-Holland, New York, 1976.
- [2] O. V. Borodin, Solution of problems of Kotzig and Grünbaum concerning the isolation of cycles in planar graphs. *Math. Notes*, 46 (1989) 835–837.
- [3] J. Cazap, P. Šugerek, Three classes of 1-planar graphs. arXiv:1404.1222.
- [4] I. Fabrici, E. Hexel, S. Jendrol', H. Walter, On vertex-degree restricted paths in polyhedral graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 212 (2000) 61–73.
- [5] I. Fabrici, T. Madaras, The structure of 1-planar graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 307 (2007) 854–865.
- [6] D. Král, L. Stacho, Coloring plane graphs with independent crossings. J. Graph Theory, 64(3) (2010) 184–205.
- [7] G. Ringel, Ein sechsfarbenproblem auf der Kugel. Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg. Univ., 29 (1965) 107–117.
- [8] X. Zhang, G. Liu, The structure of plane graphs with independent crossings and its appications to coloring problems. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 11(2) (2013) 308–321.
- [9] X. Zhang, Drawing complete multipartite graphs on the plane with restrictions on crossings. Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.), 30(12) (2014) 2045–2053.
- [10] X. Zhang, Light 3-cycles in 1-planar graphs with degree restrictions. Bull. Korean Math. Soc., 51(2) (2014) 511–517.