LIGHT 3-CYCLES IN 1-PLANAR GRAPHS WITH DEGREE RESTRICTIONS

XIN ZHANG

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we prove that the 3-cycle is light in the family of 1-planar graphs with minimum vertex degree at least 5 and minimum edge degree at least 12. This generates a known result of Fabrici and Madaras [8].

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in the paper are finite, simple and undirected. We use V(G), E(G), F(G), $\delta(G)$ and $\Delta(G)$ to denote the set of vertices, the set of edges, the set of faces, the minimum degree and the maximum degree of a plane graph G, respectively. The degree of an edge uv in G is the value of $d_G(u) + d_G(v)$. A k-, k⁺- and k⁻-vertex (resp. face) is a vertex (resp. face) of degree k, at least k and at most k, respectively. For other undefined concepts we refer the reader to [2].

A graph is 1-planar if it can be drawn on a plane so that each edge is crossed by at most one other edge. The notion of 1-planarity was introduced by Ringel [14], who proved that each 1-planar graph is vertex 7-colorable. This is the first result on the colorings of 1-planar graphs, and from then on, many authors started to investigate the coloring problems (see [1, 3, 4, 6, 15, 16, 17, 21, 23, 24]) and the structural properties (see [5, 8, 9, 10, 11, 13, 18, 19, 20, 22]) of 1-planar graphs. One of possible approaches in the study of local graph structures can be formalized in the following way (see [12]):

Let H be a connected graph and \mathcal{G} be a family of graphs. If for any graph $G \in \mathcal{G}$, G contains a subgraph $K \simeq H$ such that $\max_{x \in V(K)} \{d_G(x)\}$ is bounded above by a constant independent of G, then we say that H is light in \mathcal{G} ; otherwise H is leavy in \mathcal{G} . By $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{G})$, we denote the set of light graphs in the family \mathcal{G} , and by $\mathcal{P}^1_{\delta}(\varepsilon)$, we denote the family of 1-planar graphs with minimum vertex degree at least δ and minimum edge degree at least ε .

In 2007, Fabrici and Madaras [8] completely determined the set of light graphs in the family $\mathcal{P}_4^1(8)$; they are P_1, P_2 and P_3 . Recently, the set of light

Received December 13, 2012; Revised June 12, 2013. 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 05C75; Secondary 05C10. Key words and phrases. 1-planar graph, light graph, triangle, discharging.

X. ZHANG 512

graphs in the family $\mathcal{P}_5^1(10)$ is also completely determined (see [8, 7, 19]); they are P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 and S_3 , but $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_6^1(12))$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_7^1(14))$ are still undetermined. In the reference [8], Fabrici and Madaras proved that the 3-cycle C_3 is light in $\mathcal{P}_6^1(12)$. As $\mathcal{P}_5^1(12)$ is a superfamily of $\mathcal{P}_6^1(12)$, we are to prove the following main theorem in this paper, which generates the result of Fabrici and Madaras.

Theorem 1. Each 1-planar graph with minimum vertex degree at least 5 and minimum edge degree at least 12 contains a 3-cycle $C = [x_1x_2x_3]$ such that $\min\{d(x_1), d(x_2), d(x_3)\} \le 7 \text{ and } \max\{d(x_1), d(x_2), d(x_3)\} \le 20.$

Before giving a proof of the above theorem, we introduce some useful notations. Let G be a 1-planar graph. From now on, we always assume that G has been drawn on a plane so that the 1-planarity of G is satisfied. The associated plane graph of G, denoted by G^{\times} , is the graph obtained from G by turning all crossings of G into new 4-vertices, and those new 4-vertices are called false vertices. The face that is incident with no false vertex in G^{\times} is called true face, and otherwise, we call it false face.

2. The proof of Theorem 1

Suppose that G is a counterexample to the Theorem 1 and G^{\times} is the associated plane graph of G. Assign an initial charge c to each element $x \in$ $V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})$ as follows:

$$c(x) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} d_{G^\times}(x) - 6, & \text{ if } x \in V(G^\times); \\ 2d_{G^\times}(x) - 6, & \text{ if } x \in F(G^\times), \end{array} \right.$$

By Euler's formula on G^{\times} , $\sum_{x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})} c(x) < 0$. We now redistribute the charges among $V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})$ according to the rules defined below. Before stating them, we introduce some useful notations. In what follows, a special 4-face is a 4-face in G^{\times} that is incident with two 4-vertices and two 5-vertices. By big, mid and small vertices, we denote the vertices v in G^{\times} with $d(v) \geq 21$, $6 \leq d(v) \leq 20$ and $4 \leq d(v) \leq 5$, respectively. Let v_1, v_2 and v_3 be three consecutive neighbors of a big vertex v in G^{\times} . If v_1 and v_3 are both 4-vertices and v_2 is a 5-vertex with $v_1v_2, v_2v_3 \in E(G^{\times})$, then v_2 is called a special 5-vertex that is adjacent to v. The discharging rules are as follows.

- Rule 1 Each mid vertex v sends $1 \frac{6}{d_{G^{\times}}(v)}$ to each of its incident faces; Rule 2 Each big vertex v sends $\frac{2}{3}$ to each of its incident faces; Rule 3 Each big vertex v sends $\frac{8}{63}$ to each of its adjacent special 5-vertices;

- Rule 4 Each 3-face f with positive charge $c_2(f)$ after applications of Rules 1 and 2 sends $\frac{2}{3}c_2(f)$ to each of its incident 4-vertices, $\frac{1}{3}c_2(f)$ to each of its incident 5-vertices if f is incident with two small vertices, or $c_2(f)$ to the unique small vertex that is incident with f if f is incident with exactly one small vertex;
- Rule 5 Each special 4-face sends $\frac{2}{3}$ to each of its incident 4-vertices and $\frac{1}{3}$ to each of its incident 5-vertices;

Rule 6 Each non-special 4^+ -face with positive charge after applications of Rules 1 and 2 redistributes this charge uniformly among its incident small vertices.

Let c'(x) be the final charge of $x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})$ after applications of the above rules. We now prove that $c'(x) \geq 0$ for each $x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})$, therefore, $\sum_{x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})} c(x) = \sum_{x \in V(G^{\times}) \bigcup F(G^{\times})} c'(x) \geq 0$, which is a contradiction

Since no two 4-vertices and no two 5-vertices are adjacent in G^{\times} , any 3-face f in G^{\times} is incident with at most one 4-vertex and at most one 5-vertex. Hence, by Rule 4, f has a nonnegative final charge. By Rules 1, 5 and 6, it is also easy to check that the final charges of all mid vertices and all 4^+ -faces are nonnegative. Thus in the following, we only need estimate the final charges of the small vertices and big vertices.

Claim 1. Each non-special 4^+ -face in G^{\times} sends at least $\frac{2}{3}$ to each of its incident small vertices.

Proof. Let f be a non-special 4^+ -face in G^\times . Note that after applications of Rules 1 and 2, the saved charge $c_2(f)$ of f is at least c(f) = 2d(f) - 6 > 0. If $d_{G^\times}(f) = 4$, then the number of small vertices that are incident with f is at most 3, since no two 4-vertices and no two 5-vertices are adjacent in G^\times , thus by Rule 6, f sends at least $\frac{2\times 4-6}{3} = \frac{2}{3}$ to each of its incident small vertices. If $d_{G^\times}(f) \geq 5$, then it is easier to check that f sends at least $\frac{2\times 5-6}{5} = \frac{4}{5} > \frac{2}{3}$ to each of its incident small vertices by Rule 6.

Claim 2. Each true 3-face in G^{\times} sends at least $\frac{17}{21}$ to each of its incident 5-vertices.

Proof. Let f = [uvw] be a true 3-face in G^{\times} with $d_{G^{\times}}(v) = 5$. Since G is a counterexample to the theorem and the minimum edge degree of G is at least 12, $\max\{d_{G^{\times}}(v), d_{G^{\times}}(w)\} \geq 21$ and $\min\{d_{G^{\times}}(v), d_{G^{\times}}(w)\} \geq 7$. By Rules 1 and 2, f totally receives at least $1 - \frac{6}{7} + \frac{2}{3} = \frac{17}{21}$ from v and w. This implies that $c_2(f) \geq 2 \times 3 - 6 + \frac{17}{21} = \frac{17}{21}$ and this charge would be transferred to v by Rule 4.

Claim 3. Each big vertex v is adjacent to at most $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}d_{G^{\times}}(v) \rfloor$ special 5-vertices in G^{\times} .

Proof. Suppose $d_{G^{\times}}(v)=3r+s$, where r and s are two nonnegative integers with $0\leq s<3$. In what follows, we assume that s>0. (The case when s=0 can be dealt with similarly.) Let v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_{3r+s} be the neighbors of v in a clockwise order. We now divide those 3r+s vertices into r+1 parts $U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_r,U_{r+1}$ so that $U_i=\{v_{3i-2},v_{3i-1},v_{3i}\}$ for $1\leq i\leq r$ and $U_{r+1}=\{v_{3r+1},\ldots,v_{3r+s}\}$. By the definitions of U_i 's, one can check that U_i with $1\leq i\leq r$ contains at most two special 5-vertices. If U_i contains exactly two special 5-vertices, then v_{3i-1} must be a 4-vertex, moreover, the two 5-vertices

514 X. ZHANG

 v_{3i-2} and v_{3i} satisfy $v_{3i-2}v_{3i-1}, v_{3i-1}v_{3i} \in E(G^{\times})$ and $v_{3i-2}v_{3i} \in E(G)$. This contradicts the fact that the minimum edge degree of G is at least 12. Hence, each U_i with $1 \leq i \leq r$ contains at most one special 5-vertex. Similarly, U_{r+1} also contains at most one special 5-vertex. If s=1 and each U_i with $1 \leq i \leq r$ contains exactly one special 5-vertex, then $d_{G^{\times}}(v_{3r-2}) = d_{G^{\times}}(v_{3r}) = 4$, $d_{G^{\times}}(v_{3r-1}) = 5$ and $v_{3r-2}v_{3r-1}, v_{3r-1}v_{3r} \in E(G^{\times})$. This implies that v_{3r+1} is not a special 5-vertex, because otherwise we have $v_{3r}v_{3r+1} \in E(G^{\times})$, which implies $v_{3r-1}v_{3r+1} \in E(G)$, a contradiction. Similarly, we can prove that v_{3r+1} and v_{3r+2} are not special 5-vertices when s=2 and each U_i with $1 \leq i \leq r$ contains exactly one special 5-vertex. Therefore, v is adjacent to at most r special 5-vertices in G^{\times} .

In the following, we estimate the final charge of the big vertices $v \in V(G^{\times})$. Since Rules 2 and 3 are the only rules that are involved with v, and Rules 2 and 3 can be applied to v at most $d_{G^{\times}}(v)$ times and $\lfloor \frac{1}{3}d_{G^{\times}}(v) \rfloor$ times by Claim 3, respectively, $c'(v) \geq d_{G^{\times}}(v) - 6 - \frac{2}{3}d_{G^{\times}}(v) - \frac{8}{63}\lfloor \frac{1}{3}d_{G^{\times}}(v) \rfloor \geq \frac{1}{189}(55d_{G^{\times}}(v) - 1134) \geq \frac{1}{9} > 0$ for $d_{G^{\times}}(v) \geq 21$.

Until now, the remaining task is to check the nonnegativity of the final charge of small vertices. First, suppose that v is a 5-vertex. Let v_1, \ldots, v_5 be the neighbors of v in a clockwise sequence and let f_i be the face incident with the path $v_i v v_{i+1}$ in G^{\times} , where the addition on i are taken modulo 5. By B(v), T(v) and F(v), we denote the number of 4⁺-faces, true 3-faces and false 3-faces that are incident with v, respectively. If $B(v) \geq 3$, then by Rule 5 and Claim 1, v receives at least $3 \times \frac{1}{3} = 1$ from its incident 4^+ -faces, which implies that $c'(v) \geq 5-6+1=0$. If B(v)=2 and f is incident with a non-special 4⁺-face, then by Rule 5 and Claim 1, $c'(v) \ge 5 - 6 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} = 0$. If B(v) = 2 and f is incident with two special 4-faces, then those two 4-faces are adjacent in G^{\times} , since otherwise we would find two adjacent 4-vertices in G^{\times} , a contradiction. Without loss of generality, suppose that f_1 and f_2 are both special 4-faces. Since v_1, v_3 are false now and f_3, f_5 are 3-faces, v_4 and v_5 are true, which implies that f_4 is a true 3-face. Thus by Claim 2, f_4 sends at least $\frac{17}{21}$ to v, so $c'(v) \ge 5 - 6 + 2 \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{17}{21} > 0$ by Rule 5. If B(v) = 1 and v is incident with a true 3-face, then by Rule 5, Claims 1 and 2, $c'(v) \ge 5 - 6 + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{17}{21} > 0$. If B(v) = 1 (here we assume that f_5 is a 4⁺-face incident with v) and all 3-faces incident with v are false, then either v_2 and v_4 are both 4-vertices, or v_1, v_3 and v_5 are all 4-vertices. If the former case occurs, then f_5 is non-special and v_1, v_5 are not small. This implies that f_5 sends at least $\frac{2d_{G^\times}(f_5)-6}{d_{G^\times}(f_5)-2} \geq 1$ to v by Rule 6, and thus $c'(v) \ge 5-6+1=0$. If the latter case occurs, then v, v_2 and v_4 induce a 3-cycle in G with $d_{G^{\times}}(v) = 5$, which implies that v_2 and v_4 are 7⁺-vertices and at least one of them is big. Without loss of generality, assume that v_4 is a big vertex, then by Rule 3, v_4 sends $\frac{8}{63}$ to v, since v is special. On the other hand, one can check that the saved charge of f_1 , f_2 , f_3 and f_4 after applying Rules 1 and 2 is at least $\frac{1}{7}$, $\frac{1}{7}$, $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{2}{3}$, respectively, and f_5 sends at least $\frac{1}{3}$ to v

by Rules 5 and 6. Therefore, $c'(v) \geq 5 - 6 + \frac{8}{63} + 2 \times \frac{1}{7} \times \frac{1}{3} + 2 \times \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{3} = 0$ by Rule 4. If B(v) = 0 and $T(v) \geq 2$, then by Claim 2, $c'(v) \geq 5 - 6 + 2 \times \frac{17}{21} > 0$. If B(v) = 0, T(v) = 1 and F(v) = 4 (assume that f_5 is true and v_1 is big), then by Rules 2 and 4, f_1 sends at least $\frac{1}{3} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{9}$ to v, thus by Claim 2, we still have $c'(v) \geq 5 - 6 + \frac{2}{9} + \frac{17}{21} > 0$.

Now, suppose that v is a 4-vertex in G^{\times} . By Rule 5 and Claim 1, the final charge of v is nonnegative if v is incident with at least three 4⁺-faces, so in the following, we assume that v is incident with at least two 3-faces. Let v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4 be the neighbors of v in a clockwise order. By f_i , we denote the face that is incident with vv_i and vv_{i+1} , where the subscripts are taken modulo 4. By $\tau(f \to v)$, we denote the charge transferred from f to its incident vertex v.

Case 1. v is incident with exactly two 3-faces.

First, suppose that $d_{G^{\times}}(f_1) = d_{G^{\times}}(f_3) = 3$. Without loss of generality, assume that v is adjacent to at least two 5-vertices (the opposite case when v is adjacent to at most one 5-vertex can be dealt with similarly). Since the degree of any neighbor of a 5-vertex in G is at least 7, we can assume that $d_{G^{\times}}(v_2) = d_{G^{\times}}(v_3) = 5$ and $\min\{d_{G^{\times}}(v_1), d_{G^{\times}}(v_4)\} \geq 7$. Since $d_{G^{\times}}(v_1) \geq 7$, the saved charge of f_1 after applying Rules 1 and 2 is at least $\frac{1}{7}$, and two thirds of this charge would be transferred to v by Rule 4, that is to say, $\tau(f_1 \rightarrow v) \geq \frac{1}{7} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{21}$. Similarly, we have $\tau(f_2 \rightarrow v) \geq \frac{2}{3}$, $\tau(f_3 \rightarrow v) \geq \frac{2}{21}$ and $\tau(f_4 \rightarrow v) \geq \frac{8}{7}$. This implies that $c'(v) \geq -2 + \frac{2}{21} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{2}{21} + \frac{8}{7} = 0$. Second, suppose that $d_{G^{\times}}(f_1) = d_{G^{\times}}(f_2) = 3$. Since v_1, v_2 and v_3 induce a 3-

Second, suppose that $d_{G^{\times}}(f_1) = d_{G^{\times}}(f_2) = 3$. Since v_1, v_2 and v_3 induce a 3-cycle in G, we either have $\max_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{d_{G^{\times}}(v_i)\} \geq 21$ or have $\min_{1 \leq i \leq 3} \{d_{G^{\times}}(v_i)\} \geq 8$. If the former case occurs, then we can assume, without loss of generality, that $d_{G^{\times}}(v_1) \geq 21$. By Rules 2, 4, 5, 6 and Claim 1, we have $\tau(f_1 \to v) \geq \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{4}{9}$, $\tau(f_3 \to v) \geq \frac{2}{3}$ and $\tau(f_4 \to v) \geq \frac{1}{3} \times (2 + \frac{2}{3}) = \frac{8}{9}$. This implies that $c'(v) \geq -2 + \frac{4}{9} + \frac{2}{3} + \frac{8}{9} = 0$. If the latter case occurs, then by Rules 1 and 4, $\tau(f_1 \to v) \geq 2 \times (1 - \frac{6}{8}) = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\tau(f_2 \to v) \geq 2 \times (1 - \frac{6}{8}) = \frac{1}{2}$, and by Rule 5 and Claim 1, $\tau(f_3 \to v) \geq \frac{2}{3}$ and $\tau(f_4 \to v) \geq \frac{2}{3}$. This implies that $c'(v) \geq -2 + 2 \times \frac{1}{2} + 2 \times \frac{2}{3} > 0$.

Case 2. v is incident with exactly three 3-faces.

Suppose that f_1, f_2 and f_3 are 3-faces and f_4 is a 4^+ -face. If v is adjacent to no big vertices, then v is adjacent only to 8^+ -vertices, since otherwise a light 3-cycle is found in G, a contradiction. By Rules 1 and 4, $\tau(f_i \to v) \geq 2 \times (1 - \frac{6}{8}) = \frac{1}{2}$ for i = 1, 2, 3. By Rule 5 and Claim 1, $\tau(f_4 \to v) \geq \frac{2}{3}$. This implies that $c'(v) \geq -2 + 3 \times \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{3} > 0$. Therefore, we consider the case when v is adjacent to at least one big vertex. If v_2 or v_3 , say v_2 , is big, then either $d_{G^\times}(v_1) = d_{G^\times}(v_3) = d_{G^\times}(v_4) = 6$ or $\max\{d_{G^\times}(v_1), d_{G^\times}(v_3), d_{G^\times}(v_4)\} \geq 7$, since v_1, v_3 and v_4 induce a 3-path in G. If the former case occurs, by Rules 2 and 4, $\tau(f_1 \to v) \geq \frac{2}{3}$ and $\tau(f_2 \to v) \geq \frac{2}{3}$, and by Rule 5 and Claim 1, $\tau(f_4 \to v) \geq \frac{2}{3}$. This implies that $c'(v) \geq -2 + 3 \times \frac{2}{3} = 0$. If the latter

516 X. ZHANG

case occurs, then consider the worst case (one can easily check this fact) when $d_{G^\times}(v_1) = d_{G^\times}(v_4) = 5$ and $d_{G^\times}(v_3) = 7$. By Rules 1, 2, 3, 5 and Claim 1, we can still estimate that $\tau(f_1 \to v) \ge \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{4}{9}, \ \tau(f_2 \to v) \ge \frac{2}{3} + \frac{1}{7} = \frac{17}{21}, \ \tau(f_3 \to v) \ge \frac{1}{7} \times \frac{2}{3} = \frac{2}{21}$ and $\tau(f_4 \to v) \ge \frac{2}{3}$. This implies that $c'(v) \ge -2 + \frac{4}{9} + \frac{17}{21} + \frac{2}{21} + \frac{2}{3} = \frac{1}{63} > 0$.

Case 3. v is incident with four 3-faces.

If v is adjacent only to 8^+ -vertices, then by Rules 1 and 4, each face incident with v sends at least $2\times (1-\frac{6}{8})=\frac{1}{2}$ to v, which implies that $c'(v)\geq -2+4\times \frac{1}{2}=0$. If $\min_{1\leq i\leq 4}\{d_{G^\times}(v_i)\}\leq 7$, then there are at least two big vertices among the neighbors of v, because otherwise a light 3-cycle would appear in G. Without loss of generality, assume that both v_1 and v_3 are big vertices and that $d_{G^\times}(v_2)=5$ and $d_{G^\times}(v_4)\geq 7$ (other cases can be handled similarly and much more easily). In this case, by Rules 1, 2 and 4, one can estimate that $\min_{i=1,2}\{\tau(f_i\to v)\}\geq \frac{2}{3}\times \frac{2}{3}=\frac{4}{9}$ and $\min_{i=3,4}\{\tau(f_i\to v)\}\geq \frac{2}{3}+\frac{1}{7}=\frac{17}{21}$. This implies that $c'(v)\geq -2+2\times \frac{4}{9}+2\times \frac{17}{21}>0$.

Since C_3 is heavy in the family of 1-planar graphs with minimum vertex degree at least 5, the bound 12 for the minimum edge degree in Theorem 1 cannot be improved to 10. In view of this, we end this paper by the following open problem.

Problem 1. Determine whether $C_3 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_5^1(11))$ or not.

Acknowledgements. The author wants to greatly appreciate the help of both the referees and editorial office concerning improvement to this paper. This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11301410, 11201440, 11101243), the Natural Science Basic Research Plan in Shaanxi Province of China (No. 2013JQ1002), the Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20130203120021), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (No. K5051370003, K5051370021).

References

- M. O. Albertson and B. Mohar, Coloring vertices and faces of locally planar graphs, Graphs Combin. 22 (2006), no. 3, 289–295.
- [2] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, North-Holland, New York, 1976.
- [3] O. V. Borodin, Solution of the Ringel problem on vertex-face coloring of planar graphs and coloring of 1-planar graphs, Metody Diskret. Analiz. 41 (1984), 12–26.
- [4] ______, A new proof of the six color theorem, J. Graph Theory 19 (1995), no. 4, 507–521.
- [5] O. V. Borodin, I. G. Dmitriev, and A. O. Ivanova, The height of a cycle of length 4 in 1-planar graphs with minimal degree 5 without triangles, J. Appl. Ind. Math. 3 (2009), no. 1, 28–31.
- [6] O. V. Borodin, A. V. Kostochka, A. Raspaud, and E. Sopena, Acyclic colouring of 1-planar graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 114 (2001), no. 1-3, 29-41.
- [7] W. Dong, Light paths of 1-planar graphs with bounded minimum degree, manuscript.

- [8] I. Fabrici and T. Madaras, The structure of 1-planar graphs, Discrete Math. 307 (2007), no. 7-8, 854–865.
- [9] D. Hudák and T. Madaras, On local structures of 1-planar graphs of minimum degree
 5 and girth 4, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 29 (2009), no. 2, 385–400.
- [10] ______, On local properties of 1-planar graphs with high minimum degree, Ars Math. Contemp. 4 (2011), no. 2, 245–254.
- [11] D. Hudák and P. Šugerek, Light edges in 1-planar graphs with prescribed minimum degree, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 32 (2012), no. 3, 545–556.
- [12] S. Jendrol', T. Madaras, R. Soták, and Z. Tuza, On light cycles in plane triangulations, Discrete Math. 197/198 (1999), 453–467.
- [13] V. P. Korzhik and B. Mohar, Minimal obstructions for 1-immersions and hardness of 1-planarity test, Springer Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 5417, 302–312, 2009.
- [14] G. Ringel, Ein sechsfarbenproblem auf der Kugel, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 29 (1965), 107–117.
- [15] X. Zhang, J. Hou, and G. Liu, On total colorings of 1-planar graphs, J. Comb. Optim.; Doi:10.1007/s10878-013-9641-9.
- [16] X. Zhang and G. Liu, On edge colorings of 1-planar graphs without adjacent triangles, Inform. Process. Lett. 112 (2012), no. 4, 138–142.
- [17] ______, On edge colorings of 1-planar graphs without chordal 5-cycles, Ars Combin. 104 (2012), 431–436.
- [18] _____, On the lightness of chordal 4-cycle in 1-planar graphs with high minimum degree, Ars Mathematica Contemporanea 7 (2014), no. 2, 281–291.
- [19] X. Zhang, G. Liu, and J.-L. Wu, Structural properties of 1-planar graphs and an application to acyclic edge coloring, Scientia Sinica Mathematica 40 (2010), 1025–1032.
- [20] _____, Light subgraphs in the family of 1-planar graphs with high minimum degree, Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.) 28 (2012), no. 6, 1155-1168.
- [21] X. Zhang and J.-L. Wu, On edge colorings of 1-planar graphs, Inform. Process. Lett. 111 (2011), no. 3, 124–128.
- [22] X. Zhang, J.-L. Wu, and G. Liu, New upper bounds for the heights of some light subgraphs in 1-planar graphs with high minimum degree, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 13 (2011), no. 3, 9–16.
- [23] _____, List edge and list total coloring of 1-planar graphs, Front. Math. China 7 (2012), no. 5, 1005–1018.
- [24] X. Zhang, Y. Yu, and G. Liu, On (p, 1)-total labelling of 1-planar graphs, Cent. Eur. J. Math. 9 (2011), no. 6, 1424–1434.

Department of Mathematics

XIDIAN UNIVERSITY

XI'AN, 710071, P. R. CHINA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: xzhang@xidian.edu.cn}$